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## Preface

The State of Nevada delivers services and support to older adults and persons with disabilities in a partnership that involves three Divisions within the Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS). The Division of Health Care Financing and Policy (DHCFP) administers Medicaid Waivers for the Frail Elderly (FE), Persons with Physical Disabilities (PD), and Individuals with Intellectual Disabilities and Related Conditions (ID). The Aging and Disability Services Division (ADSD) manages caseloads from a person-centered perspective and ensures that long-term services and supports (LTSS) are delivered as authorized. The Division of Welfare and Supportive Services (DWSS) determines financial eligibility for programs supported with Medicaid dollars.

In addition, ADSD manages a variety of non-Medicaid programs that provide LTSS to older adults and persons with disabilities. Programs include, but are not limited to, Community Options Programs for the Elderly (COPE), the Homemaker Program, and Personal Assistance Services (PAS). The Division draws upon multiple funding sources to support non-Medicaid programs; for example, the State General Fund, the Fund for a Healthy Nevada (aka the Master Tobacco Settlement), Social Services Block Grant (Title XX), and the Older Americans Act.

ADSD and DHCFP routinely engage in quality assurance activities required by the Medicaid Waivers. Among these activities are Participant Experience Surveys (PES), which are conducted among randomly selected waiver recipients each quarter. ADSD recognizes the value of this effort and has expanded its reach to include non-Medicaid service recipients. The National Core Indicators - Aging and Disabilities Adult Consumer Survey (NCI-AD) project complements this work by exploring waiver recipient experiences with family relationships, health and wellness, health care, future planning and other topics not addressed in the PES.

The most recent census data estimates Nevada's total population at more than 2.9 million, a figure which is expected to top 3 million in 2018. For the past six decades, Nevada has had the highest population growth in the nation. Since the year 2000, the nation's population has grown 9.7 percent, while Nevada's growth rate has been clocked at 35.1 percent. Between 2000 and 2010, Nevada's older adult population growth also outstripped the nation. During this interval, the number of persons age 60 and older
increased by 56.3 percent compared with only 22 percent nationwide, and the number of persons age 85 and older increased 77.7 percent compared with only 29.75 percent nationwide.

Outcome data from the 2016-17 NCI-AD survey project will most certainly help Nevada in its efforts to continuously plan and adapt its LTSS programs to best meet the needs of this growing population.
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## List of Abbreviations Used in This Report

ADL - Activities of Daily Living
ADSD - Aging and Disability Services Division
CM - case manager
COPE - Community Options Program for the Elderly
DHCFP - Division of Health Care Financing and Policy
DHHS - Department of Health and Human Services
DWSS - Division of Welfare and Supportive Services
ER - emergency room
HCBW-FE - Home and Community Based Waiver for the Frail Elderly
HCBW-PD - Home and Community Based Waiver for Persons with Physical Disabilities
HSRI - Human Services Research Institute
IADL - Instrumental Activities of Daily Living
LOC - Level of Care
LTC - Long Term Care
LTSS - Long-term Services and Supports
N - Number of respondents
NASUAD - National Association of States United for Aging and Disabilities
$\mathrm{NCI}-\mathrm{AD}$ - National Core Indicators for Aging and Disabilities
NEIS - Nevada Early Intervention Services
PACE - Program of All-Inclusive Care for the Elderly
PAS - Personal Assistance Services
PES - Participant Experience Surveys
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## What is NCI-AD?

The National Core Indicators for Aging and Disabilities© (NCI-AD) are standard measures used across participating states to assess the quality of life and outcomes of seniors and adults with physical disabilities -including traumatic or acquired brained injury-who are accessing publicly-funded services through Medicaid, the Older Americans Act, Program of All-Inclusive Care for the Elderly (PACE), skilled nursing facilities/nursing homes, and/or state-funded programs. The effort is coordinated by the National Association of States United for Aging and Disabilities ${ }^{1}$ (NASUAD) and Human Services Research Institute (HSRI). Data for the project are gathered through a yearly in-person Adult Consumer Survey administered by state Aging, Disability, and Medicaid Agencies (or a state agency-contracted vendor) to a sample of at least 400 individuals in each participating state. NCI-AD data measure the performance of states' long-term services and supports (LTSS) systems and help state agencies with quality improvement initiatives, strategic planning, and legislative and funding prioritization. The project officially launched in mid- 2015 with 13 participating states ${ }^{2}$. Currently, the project is in its third year of data collection. The data presented in this report were collected during the project's second year of implementation (2016-2017). For more on the development and history of NCI-AD, refer to the National Core Indicators Aging and Disability Adult Consumer Survey: 2015-2016 National Results report, available on the NCI-AD website (www.NCI-AD.org)

## NCI-AD Survey

## Survey Overview

The NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey is designed to measure approximately eighteen broad domains and key areas of concern. These eighteen domains are comprised of 54 core indicators. Indicators are the standard measures used across states to assess the outcomes of services provided to individuals, including employment, respect and rights, service coordination, care coordination,

[^0]choice, and health and safety. An example of an indicator for Service Coordination is: "Proportion of people who receive the services that they need."

While most indicators correspond to a single survey question, a few refer to clusters of related questions. For example, the Access indicator that measures "Proportion of people who get needed equipment, assistive devices" is measured by several survey questions that ask about the person's need for various equipment and devices. The following Figure 1 details NCI-AD domains and corresponding indicators.

Figure 1. NCI-AD Domains and indicators

| Domain | NCI AD Indicator |
| :---: | :---: |
| Community Participation | Proportion of people who are able to participate in preferred activities outside of home when and with whom they want |
| Choice and Decision Making | Proportion of people who are involved in making decisions about their everyday lives including where they live, what they do during the day, the staff that supports them and with whom they spend time |
| Relationships | Proportion of people who are able to see or talk to their friends and families when they want to |
| Satisfaction | Proportion of people who are satisfied with where they live |
|  | Proportion of people who are satisfied with what they do during the day |
|  | Proportion of people who are satisfied with staff who work with them |
| Service Coordination | Proportion of people who know who to call with a complaint, concern, or question about their services |
|  | Proportion of people whose CM talks to them about any needs that are not being met |
|  | Proportion of people who can get in contact with their CM when they need to |
|  | Proportion of people who receive the services that they need |
|  | Proportion of people finding out about services from service agencies |
|  | Proportion of people who want help planning for future need for services |
|  | Proportion of people who have an emergency plan in place |
|  | Proportion of people whose support workers come when they are supposed to |


| Domain | NCI AD Indicator |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Proportion of people who use a relative as their support person |
|  | Proportion of people who have a backup plan if their support person doesn't show up |
| Care Coordination | Proportion of people discharged from the hospital or LTC facility who felt comfortable going home |
|  | Proportion of people making a transition from hospital or LTC facility who had adequate follow-up |
|  | Proportion of people who know how to manage their chronic conditions |
| Access | Proportion of people who have adequate transportation |
|  | Proportion of people who get needed equipment, assistive devices (wheelchairs, grab bars, home modifications, etc.) |
|  | Proportion of people who have access to information about services in their preferred language |
| Safety | Proportion of people who feel safe at home |
|  | Proportion of people who feel safe around their staff/ caregiver |
|  | Proportion of people who feel that their belongings are safe |
|  | Proportion of people whose fear of falling is managed |
|  | Proportion of people who are able to get to safety quickly in case of an emergency |
| Health Care | Proportion of people who have been to the ER in the past 12 months |
|  | Proportion of people who have had needed health screenings and vaccinations in a timely manner (e.g., vision, hearing, dental, etc.) |
|  | Proportion of people who can get an appointment their doctor when they need to |
|  | Proportion of people who have access to mental health services when they need them |
| Wellness | Proportion of people in poor health |
|  | Proportion of people with unaddressed memory concerns |
|  | Proportion of people with poor hearing |
|  | Proportion of people with poor vision |
|  | Proportion of people who have a chronic psychiatric or mental health diagnosis |
|  | Proportion of people who often feel sad or depressed |


| Domain | NCI AD Indicator |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Proportion of people who have a chronic condition |
| Medications | Proportion of people taking medications that help them feel less sad/depressed |
|  | Proportion of people who know what their medications are for |
| Rights and Respect | Proportion of people whose basic rights are respected by others |
|  | Proportion of people whose staff/worker/caregiver treat them with respect |
| Self-Direction of Care | Proportion of people self-directing |
|  | Proportion of people who can choose or change the kind of services they receive and who provides them |
| Work | Proportion of people who have a paid job |
|  | Proportion of people who would like a job |
|  | Proportion of people who have had job search assistance |
|  | Proportion of people who volunteer |
|  | Proportion of people who would like to volunteer |
| Everyday Living | Proportion of people who have adequate support to perform activities of daily living (bathing, toileting, taking meds, etc.) and IADLs (cleaning, laundry, etc.) |
|  | Proportion of people who have access to healthy foods |
| Affordability | Proportion of people who have ever had to cut back on food because of money |
| Planning for future | Proportion of people who want help planning for future need for services |
| Control | Proportion of people who feel in control of their lives |

## Survey Organization

The NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey consists of a pre-survey form, a background information section, the in-person interview questions, and an interviewer feedback form. An additional Proxy Version of the survey is available for surveys conducted only with a proxy respondent. Each is described below.

Pre-Survey Information: This form has questions that help the interviewer prepare for the meeting. Pre-Survey data are not received by the NCI-AD project team, are not analyzed and thus are not included in this report. The Pre-Survey form is for interviewer use only.

Background Information: This section consists of questions about the consumer's demographics, residence, and services and supports. Data are generally collected from state records, case managers, or a combination of both. When information is not available or is incomplete, the interviewer is responsible for collecting the missing Background Information items at the end of the interview.

In-person consumer survey: This section includes all questions comprising the full in-person interview. The survey is organized into thematic sub-sections with related questions grouped together (e.g., questions about employment are in the same section; questions about the home are in a separate section, etc.). This section is completed one-on-one with the person receiving services, whenever possible. The respondent may ask for assistance answering certain questions through the help of a proxy respondent (e.g. family member or close friend) if needed. While the full in-person survey includes both subjective and objective questions, the proxy may only assist with answering the objective items.

Proxy Version: This version of the survey is used when the person receiving services is unable to complete any of the survey or has asked a proxy to complete the survey on their behalf. This version includes only the objective survey items that may be answered by a proxy respondent. The survey is also rephrased to reflect questions are about the individual receiving services and not the proxy respondent.

Interviewer Feedback form: This form is completed by the surveyor after the interview is finished to record information such as length and place of the meeting, respondent's ability to answer the questions, if others were present during the interview, any problematic questions encountered, and general feedback for the NCI-AD project team.

## NCI-AD in Nevada

The Nevada Division of Aging and Disability Services (ADSD) and the Nevada Division of Health Care Financing and Policy (DHCFP) partnered to participate in the 2016-17 National Core Indicators - Aging and Disabilities (NCI-AD) Adult Consumer Survey sponsored by NASUAD and HSRI. DHCFP provided support in the form of funding, and ADSD delegated staff to conduct outreach and education as well as to arrange and conduct surveys across the state. Data from this substantial undertaking will be used in ADSD's ongoing efforts to positively impact the lives of Nevadans who rely on LTSS to remain in their homes or in the least restrictive community setting possible.

## Sample

The total number of NCI-AD Adult Consumer Surveys conducted in Nevada and included for analysis in 2016-2017 was three hundred and ninety-six (Total $N=396$ ). The two program populations included in the survey sample are detailed below.

Home and Community Based Waiver for Persons with Physical Disabilities (HCBW-PD): The goals of this waiver are to provide the option of home and community-based services as an alternative to institutional nursing facility care and to allow for maximum independence for persons with physical disabilities. There are no minimum or maximum age limits for this program. Eligible participants must satisfy Medicaid financial requirements, meet a nursing facility level of care (LOC), and be at risk of nursing facility placement. Waiver services may include: Case management, homemaker, respite, attendant care, specialized medical equipment and supplies, assisted living services, chore, environmental accessibility adaptations, homedelivered meals, and personal emergency response systems. Two hundred and forty-three people ( $\mathrm{N}=243$ ) from this program were included for analysis.

Home and Community Based Waiver for the Frail Elderly (HCBW-FE): This waiver serves recipients age 65 or older whose LOC would otherwise result in admission to a skilled nursing facility within 30 days. Eligible participants must satisfy Medicaid financial requirements. Waiver services may include: Case management, homemaker, chore services, respite, personal
emergency response systems, adult day care, adult companion services, adult resident care, and augmented personal care (provided in residential care settings). One hundred and forty-two people ( $\mathrm{N}=142$ ) from this program were included for analysis.

Figure 2 below summarizes the programs included in Nevada's analysis sample, the number of surveys completed per program and included for analysis, and the number of participants eligible to be included in the survey by program. Also included are calculations of margin of error for each program's estimate under two scenarios: assuming 0.5 distribution of responses and assuming 0.7 distribution of responses. Using the 0.5 distribution of responses is the most conservative assumption one can make when calculating margins of error and is usually used when no prior information is available at all about population proportions. When prior evidence exists about likely distributions of proportions or averages in the population, those proportions can be used in calculating less conservative margins of error. Based on distributions observed in data collected so far, it is reasonable to assume a less conservative population proportion (response distribution) of 0.7 when calculating margins of error for the individual programs. Both scenarios use all completed surveys included for analysis as sample program N in the calculations. Readers should be aware that for some survey items, the actual number of valid responses may be smaller than the total number of completed surveys. This is explained in more detail in "Organization of Results" section below.

Figure 2. Programs included, number of surveys included for analysis, and margins of error

| Setting | Number of <br> surveys | Number of <br> eligible <br> participants | Margin of error and confidence level <br> for estimate (using 0.5 distribution) | Margin of error and confidence level <br> for estimate (using 0.7 distribution) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Home and Community <br> Based Waiver for Persons <br> with Physical Disabilities <br> (HCBW-PD) | 243 | 718 | $95 \%$ Confidence Level, $5.1 \%$ Margin of <br> Error | 95\% Confidence Level, 4.7\% Margin of <br> Error |
| Home and Community <br> Based Waiver for the Frail <br> Elderly (HCBW-FE) | 142 | 1,860 | $95 \%$ Confidence Level, $7.9 \%$ Margin of <br> Error | 95\% Confidence Level, $7.3 \%$ Margin of <br> Error |


| Setting | Number of <br> surveys | Number of <br> eligible <br> participants | Margin of error and confidence level <br> for estimate (using 0.5 distribution) | Margin of error and confidence level <br> for estimate (using 0.7 distribution) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total | $396^{3}$ | 2,578 | 95\% Confidence Level, 4.5\% Margin <br> of Error | 95\% Confidence Level, 4.2\% Margin of <br> Error |

## Survey Process in Nevada

The Nevada ADSD called upon staff from Nevada Early Intervention Services (NEIS) to conduct approximately 400 face-to-face interviews of recipients enrolled in the HCBW-FE and the HCBW-PD. ADSD's Community Based Care Unit is responsible for managing caseloads associated with the waivers but mobilizing NEIS staff created the separation of duties deemed necessary for impartial data collection. Prior to launching the project, ADSD trained NEIS interview teams, reached out to providers and other stakeholders to raise awareness of the survey activities, and distributed an informational brochure to recipients who were identified as potential respondents in a random sample of enrollees pulled from ADSD's electronic case management system. Recipient participation was voluntary.

## Stakeholders

The Nevada ADSD consistently works with stakeholders to ensure a high level of community involvement in planning and decisionmaking. Two Governor's advisory groups - the Commission on Aging and the Commission on Services for Persons with Disabilities are regularly consulted on a variety of topics throughout the year. The NCI-AD program was discussed during some of these advisory group meetings.

[^1]The Division takes additional steps to seek out consumers, providers and advocacy groups for special input and insights on LTSS. For example, ADSD's 2016-2020 State Plan includes objectives that rely on consumers, caregivers and their families for program improvement. In keeping with national standards, ADSD aims to develop a No Wrong Door LTSS system that includes goals and performance indicators designed to increase visibility, trust, ease of access, responsiveness, efficiency and effectiveness of the system. One strategy to reach this goal is to conduct a minimum of four focus groups, town hall meetings and other types of listening sessions around the state each year. Information gleaned from the NCI-AD survey project will help ADSD maximize the value of its planned sessions with the public. The more data that are available about the current status and future needs of the population the Division serves, the easier it will be to identify effective program improvements and appropriate development opportunities.

## Organization of Results

The following section of the report presents findings from Nevada's 2016-17 NCI-AD data collection cycle. Results are grouped by domain and are presented in chart format. Charts show collapsed data broken out by each of the two programs, as well as the Nevada state average. The numbers of people in each program that responded to the item, as well as the number for the state as a whole are also shown. For rules on collapsing response options, please refer to Appendix A.

The Ns (number of respondents for each individual program and the state) shown in each chart are the number of valid responses to that survey item. That number may be smaller than the total number of completed surveys for several reasons:

- Certain questions in the survey could only be asked of the service recipient - i.e. no proxy respondents were allowed for those questions. As the number of completed surveys includes both the full in-person surveys and the proxy surveys, these questions were only asked in the full in-person survey and thus have a smaller number of respondents.
- Only valid responses were included in both denominator and numerator. The Ns also represent the number of valid responses only. Unclear, refused and, unless otherwise stated, "don't know" responses were excluded.
- The survey contains several skip logic patterns. This means that depending on the response to a previous survey item, a question may or may not be asked, as appropriate. When a question is skipped due to skip logic, that survey case does not contribute to the calculations for the item and does not contribute to the N .

Nevada state average is a weighted state estimate. A weighted estimate is needed because Nevada oversampled one of its programs - i.e. one program constituted a larger proportion of the sample than it did as proportion of total population receiving services in the state. To account for this program being proportionally over-represented in the state sample, statistical weights were developed and applied when estimating state averages. Applying these weights, in effect, "re-balances" the disproportionate representation of programs in the sample, and results in a state estimate that one would expect if the programs were sampled proportionately relative to the populations they serve. For exact calculations of state weights please contact the NCI-AD project team.

Un-collapsed and unweighted data showing all categories of responses by program and Nevada's analysis sample overall are shown in tabular format in Appendix B. Please note the "sample average" in Appendix B is a simple average and is different from the state average shown in the charts, as it presents unweighted data (i.e. no weights that account for disproportionate sampling of programs have been applied in Appendix B).

## Limitations of Data

This report contains survey results related to the quality and impact of LTSS in Nevada. However, the report does not include benchmarks for acceptable or unacceptable levels of performance for the programs or the state overall. Rather, it is up to stakeholders to assess the information contained in this report and draw conclusions. This report is intended to be one mechanism for state leaders and community stakeholders to assess the current state of Nevada's LTSS system and identify areas that are working well and areas that could use improvement. The results charts throughout this report display program scores relative to one another and to Nevada state average. It is up to public managers, policy-makers, and other stakeholders to decide whether a program's result relative to the state average suggests that intervention or further investigation are necessary. Furthermore, by
aligning NCI-AD measures with specific state and federal initiatives, Nevada can more accurately demonstrate the areas in which transformation is evident and continue to promote quality efforts, while also recognizing limitations and ongoing challenges.

Extreme caution should be exercised when interpreting results where the item sample size is small. Valid item Ns for each program are shown in every chart and table. Anytime the sample size is smaller than 20 in the charts, the N is also asterisked. It is advised that in these cases the data are treated as suggestive and informational only, and not used for drawing firm conclusions.

In addition, discretion should be used when comparing a program's result relative to another program due to potential similarities and differences amongst program participants.

## Community Participation

People are able to participate in preferred activities outside of home when and with whom they want.
There is one Community Participation indicator measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

1. Proportion of people who are able to participate in preferred activities outside of home when and with whom they want.

There are three survey items that correspond to the Community Participation domain.
Un-collapsed data for state and settings are shown in Appendix B.

Graph 1. Proportion of people who are as active in the community as they would like to be ${ }^{4}$.


Graph 2. Proportion of people who have tried to leave the house to go
somewhere in the past week and have not been able to ${ }^{5}$.

${ }^{5}$ New variable

## Choice and Decision Making

People are involved in making decisions about their everyday lives and with whom they spend their time.

There is one Choice and Decision-Making indicator measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

1. Proportion of people who are involved in making decisions about their everyday lives including where they live, what they do during the day, the staff that supports them and with whom they spend time

There are four survey items that correspond to the Choice and Decision-Making domain.

Un-collapsed data for state and settings are shown in Appendix B.

Graph 3. Proportion of people who are able to choose their roommate (if in group setting)


Graph 4. Proportion of people who get up and go to bed at the time when they want


Graph 5. Proportion of people who can eat their meals when they want


Graph 6. Proportion of people who are able to decide how to furnish and decorate their room (if in group setting)


## Relationships

People have friends and relationships and do not feel lonely.

There is one Relationship indicator measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

1. Proportion of people who are able to see or talk to their friends and families when they want to.

There are two survey items that correspond to the Relationship domain.
Un-collapsed data for state and settings are shown in Appendix B.

Graph 7. Proportion of people who can always or almost always see or talk to friends and family when they want to (if there are friends and family who do not live with person)


## Satisfaction

People are satisfied with their everyday lives - where they live, who works with them, and what they do during the day.

There are three Satisfaction indicators measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

1. Proportion of people who are satisfied with where they live.
2. Proportion of people who are satisfied with what they do during the day.
3. Proportion of people who are satisfied with staff who work with them.

There are seven survey items that correspond to the Satisfaction domain.

Un-collapsed data for state and settings are shown in Appendix B.

Graph 8. Proportion of people who like where they are living


Graph 9. Proportion of people who would prefer to live somewhere else


Graph 10. Proportion of people who always like how they usually spend their time during the day ${ }^{6}$


Graph 11. Proportion of people whose paid support staff change too often ${ }^{7}$

${ }^{7}$ In 2015-2016 survey cycle, proxies were allowed for this question

Graph 12. Proportion of people whose paid support staff do things the way they want them done


## Service Coordination

Service coordinators are accessible, responsive, and support the person's participation in service planning and the person receives needed services.

There are ten Service Coordination indicators measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

1. Proportion of people who know who to call with a complaint, concern, or question about their services
2. Proportion of people whose case manager talks to them about any needs that are not being met
3. Proportion of people who can get in contact with their case manager when they need to
4. Proportion of people who receive the services that they need
5. Proportion of people finding out about services from service agencies ${ }^{8}$
6. Proportion of people who want help planning for future need for services
7. Proportion of people who have an emergency plan in place
8. Proportion of people whose support workers come when they are supposed to
9. Proportion of people who use a relative as their support person
10. Proportion of people who have a backup plan if their support person doesn't show up

There are twelve survey items that correspond to the Service Coordination domain.
Un-collapsed data for state and settings are shown in Appendix B.

[^2]Graph 13. Proportion of people who know whom to contact if they want to
make changes to their services


Graph 14. Proportion of people who can reach their case manager/care coordinator when they need to (if know they have case manager/care coordinator)


Graph 15. Proportion of people whose paid support staff show up and leave when they are supposed to


Graph 16. Proportion of people who have an emergency plan in place


Graph 17. Proportion of people who want help planning for their future need for services


Graph 18. Proportion of people whose services meet all their needs and goals


Graph 19. Proportion of people whose case manager/care coordinator talked to them about services that might help with unmet needs and goals (if have case manager and have unmet needs and goals)


Graph 20. Proportion of people whose family member (unpaid or paid) is the person who helps them most often


Graph 21. Proportion of people whose family member (unpaid or paid) provides additional assistance


Graph 22. Proportion of people who have a backup plan if their paid support people do not show up ${ }^{9}$


[^3]
## Care Coordination

Individuals are provided appropriate coordination of care.

There are three Care Coordination indicators measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

1. Proportion of people discharged from the hospital or LTC facility who felt comfortable going home.
2. Proportion of people making a transition from hospital or LTC facility who had adequate follow-up.
3. Proportion of people who know how to manage their chronic conditions.

There are five survey items that correspond to the Care Coordination domain.

Un-collapsed data for state and settings are shown in Appendix B.

Graph 23. Proportion of people who stayed overnight in a hospital or rehabilitation facility (and were discharged to go home) in past year


Graph 24. Proportion of people who reported feeling comfortable and supported enough to go home after being discharged from a hospital or rehabilitation facility (if occurred in the past year)


Graph 25. Proportion of people who reported someone followed-up with them after discharge from a hospital or rehabilitation facility (if occurred in the past year)


Graph 26. Proportion of people who reported having one or more chronic condition(s)


Graph 27. Proportion of people who reported they know how to manage
their chronic condition(s)


## Access

Publicly funded services are readily available to individuals who need and qualify for them.

There are three Access indicators measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

1. Proportion of people who have adequate transportation.
2. Proportion of people who get needed equipment, assistive devices (wheelchairs, grab bars, home modifications, etc.)
3. Proportion of people who have access to information about services in their preferred language.

There are five survey items that correspond to the Access domain.
Un-collapsed data for state and settings are shown in Appendix B.

Graph 28. Proportion of people who have transportation when they want to do things outside of their home


Graph 29. Proportion of people who have transportation to get to medical appointments when they need to


Graph 30. Proportion of people who receive information about their services in the language they prefer (if non-English)


Graph 31. Proportion of people who need new grab bars in the bathroom or elsewhere in home

Graph 32. Proportion of people who need an upgrade to grab bars in the bathroom or elsewhere in home

| Proportion of people who need an upgrade to grab bars in the bathroom or elsewhere in home |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100\% |  |  |
| 80\% |  |  |
| 60\% |  |  |
| 40\% |  |  |
| 20\% | 13\% |  |
|  |  | 5\% |
| 0\% | $\begin{gathered} \text { HCBW-PD } \\ \mathrm{N}=240 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HCBW-FE } \\ \mathrm{N}=140 \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |

Graph 33. Proportion of people who need new bathroom modifications (other than grab bars)


Graph 34. Proportion of people who need an upgrade to bathroom modifications (other than grab bars)


Graph 35. Proportion of people who need a new specialized bed


Graph 36. Proportion of people who need an upgrade to specialized bed

| Proportion of people who need an upgrade to specialized bed |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100\% |  |  |
| 80\% |  |  |
| 60\% |  |  |
| 40\% |  |  |
| 20\% | 9\% | 4\% |
| 0\% | $\begin{gathered} \text { HCBW-PD } \\ \mathrm{N}=233 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HCBW-FE } \\ \mathrm{N}=141 \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |

Graph 37. Proportion of people who need a new ramp or stair lift in or outside the home


Graph 38. Proportion of people who need an upgrade to a ramp or stair lift in or outside the home

| Proportion of people who need an upgrade to a ramp or stair lift in or outside the home |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100\% |  |  |
| 80\% |  |  |
| 60\% |  |  |
| 40\% |  |  |
| 20\% |  |  |
|  | 1\% | 2\% |
| 0\% | $\begin{gathered} \text { HCBW-PD } \\ \mathrm{N}=241 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HCBW-FE } \\ \mathrm{N}=142 \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |

Graph 39. Proportion of people who need a new remote monitoring system

| Proportion of people who need a new remote monitoring system |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100\% |  |  |
| 80\% |  |  |
| 60\% |  |  |
| 40\% |  |  |
| 20\% | 11\% | 6\% |
| 0\% | $\begin{gathered} \text { HCBW-PD } \\ \mathrm{N}=234 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HCBW-FE } \\ \mathrm{N}=140 \end{gathered}$ |

Graph 40. Proportion of people who need an upgrade to remote monitoring system

| Proportion of people who need an upgrade to remote monitoring system |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100\% |  |  |
| 80\% |  |  |
| 60\% |  |  |
| 40\% |  |  |
| 20\% |  |  |
|  | 0\% | 0\% |
| 0\% | $\begin{gathered} \text { HCBW-PD } \\ \mathrm{N}=234 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HCBW-FE } \\ \mathrm{N}=140 \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |

Graph 41. Proportion of people who need a new emergency response system


Graph 42. Proportion of people who need an upgrade to emergency response system


Graph 43. Proportion of people who need other new home modifications


Graph 44. Proportion of people who need an upgrade to other home modifications

| Proportion of people who need an upgrade to other home modifications |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100\% |  |  |
| 80\% |  |  |
| 60\% |  |  |
| 40\% |  |  |
| 20\% |  |  |
|  | 0\% | 0\% |
| 0\% | $\begin{gathered} \text { HCBW-PD } \\ \mathrm{N}=205 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HCBW-FE } \\ \mathrm{N}=124 \end{gathered}$ |

Graph 45. Proportion of people who need a new walker


Graph 46. Proportion of people who need an upgrade to a walker


Graph 47. Proportion of people who need a new scooter


Graph 48. Proportion of people who need an upgrade to a scooter


Graph 49. Proportion of people who need a new cane


Graph 50. Proportion of people who need an upgrade to a cane


Graph 51. Proportion of people who need a new wheelchair


Graph 52. Proportion of people who need an upgrade to a wheelchair


Graph 53. Proportion of people who need new hearing aids


Graph 54. Proportion of people who need an upgrade to hearing aids


Graph 55. Proportion of people who need new glasses

| Proportion of people who need new glasses |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100\% |  |  |
| 80\% |  |  |
| 60\% |  |  |
| 40\% |  |  |
| 20\% | 8\% | 9\% |
| 0\% |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { HCBW-PD } \\ \mathrm{N}=236 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HCBW-FE } \\ & \mathrm{N}=140 \end{aligned}$ |

Graph 56. Proportion of people who need an upgrade to glasses


Graph 57. Proportion of people who need a new communication device


Graph 58. Proportion of people who need an upgrade to a communication device

| Proportion of people who need an upgrade to a communication device |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100\% |  |  |
| 80\% |  |  |
| 60\% |  |  |
| 40\% |  |  |
| 20\% |  |  |
| 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { HCBW-PD } \\ \mathrm{N}=236 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HCBW-FE } \\ \mathrm{N}=137 \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |

Graph 59. Proportion of people who need other new assistive devices


Graph 60. Proportion of people who need an upgrade to other assistive devices

| Proportion of people who need an upgrade to other assistive devices |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100\% |  |  |
| 80\% |  |  |
| 60\% |  |  |
| 40\% |  |  |
| 20\% |  |  |
|  | 0\% | 0\% |
| 0\% | $\begin{gathered} \text { HCBW-PD } \\ \mathrm{N}=202 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HCBW-FE } \\ \mathrm{N}=124 \end{gathered}$ |

## Safety

People feel safe from abuse, neglect, and injury.
There are five Safety indicators measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

1. Proportion of people who feel safe at home.
2. Proportion of people who feel safe around their staff/ caregiver.
3. Proportion of people who feel that their belongings are safe.
4. Proportion of people whose fear of falling is managed.
5. Proportion of people who are able to get to safety quickly in case of an emergency.

There are seven survey items that correspond to the Safety domain.

Un-collapsed data for state and settings are shown in Appendix B.

## Graph 61. Proportion of people who feel safe at home



Graph 62. Proportion of people who feel safe around their paid support staff


Graph 63. Proportion of people who are ever worried for the security of their personal belongings


Graph 64. Proportion of people whose money was taken or used without their permission in the last 12 months


Graph 65. Proportion of people who have concerns about falling or being unstable (or about whom there are concerns)


Graph 66. Proportion of people with whom somebody talked to or worked with to reduce risk of falling or being unstable (if there are such concerns)


Graph 67. Proportion of people who are able to get to safety quickly in case of an emergency like a fire or a natural disaster


## Health Care

## People secure needed health services.

There are four Health Care indicators measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

1. Proportion of people who have been to the ER in the past 12 months.
2. Proportion of people who have had needed health screenings and vaccinations in a timely manner (e.g., vision, hearing, dental, etc.)
3. Proportion of people who can get an appointment with their doctor when they need to.
4. Proportion of people who have access to mental health services when they need them.

There are four survey items that correspond to the Health Care domain.
Un-collapsed data for state and settings are shown in Appendix B.

Graph 68. Proportion of people who have gone to the emergency room for any reason in the past year ${ }^{10}$


Graph 69. Proportion of people whose one or more visit to the ER in the past year was due to falling or losing balance (if went to ER in past year) ${ }^{11}$

Proportion of people whose one or more visit to the ER in the past year was due to falling or losing balance (if went to ER in past year)

${ }^{11}$ Question restructured

Graph 70. Proportion of people whose one or more visit to the ER in the past year was due to tooth or mouth pain (if went to ER in the past year) ${ }^{12}$

| Proportion of people whose one or more visit to the ER in the past year was due to tooth or mouth pain (if went to ER in past year) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100\% |  |  |
| 80\% |  |  |
| 60\% |  |  |
| 40\% |  |  |
| 20\% |  |  |
|  | 0\% | 0\% |
| 0\% | $\begin{gathered} \text { HCBW-PD } \\ \mathrm{N}=97 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HCBW-FE } \\ \mathrm{N}=48 \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |

Graph 71. Proportion of people who can get an appointment to see their primary care doctor when they need to


[^4]Graph 72. Proportion of people who have talked to someone about feeling sad and depressed during the past 12 months (if feeling sad and depressed)


Graph 73. Proportion of people who have had a physical exam or wellness visit in the past year


Graph 74. Proportion of people who have had a hearing exam in the past year


Graph 75. Proportion of people who have had a vision exam in the past year


Graph 76. Proportion of people who have had a flu shot in the past year


Graph 77. Proportion of people who have had a routine dental visit in the past year


Graph 78. Proportion of people who have had a cholesterol screening in the past five years


## Wellness

## People are supported to maintain health.

There are seven Wellness indicators measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

1. Proportion of people in poor health.
2. Proportion of people with unaddressed memory concerns.
3. Proportion of people with poor hearing.
4. Proportion of people with poor vision.
5. Proportion of people who have a chronic psychiatric or mental health diagnosis.
6. Proportion of people who often feel sad or depressed.
7. Proportion of people who have a chronic condition.

There are ten survey items that correspond to the Wellness domain.
Un-collapsed data for state and settings are shown in Appendix B.

Graph 79. Proportion of people who describe their overall health as poor


Graph 80. Proportion of people who reported their health is much better or somewhat better compared to 12 months ago


Graph 81. Proportion of people who reported they forget things more often than before during the past 12 months


Graph 82. Proportion of people who have discussed (or somebody else discussed) their forgetting things with a doctor or a nurse (if forget things more often during the past 12 months)

Proportion of people who have discussed forgetting things with a doctor or a nurse


Graph 83. Proportion of people who describe themselves as having a chronic psychiatric or mental health diagnosis ${ }^{13}$


Graph 84. Proportion of people who feel sad or depressed at least sometimes or often


[^5]Graph 85. Proportion of people with chronic conditions


Graph 86. Proportion of people who describe their hearing as poor (taking into account hearing aids, if any ${ }^{14}$


[^6]Graph 87. Proportion of people who describe their vision as poor (taking into account glasses or contacts, if any) ${ }^{15}$


Graph 88. Proportion of people who describe themselves as having a physical disability) ${ }^{16}$

${ }^{16}$ New variable

## Medications

## Medications are managed effectively and appropriately.

There are two Medication indicators measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

1. Proportion of people taking medications that help them feel less sad/depressed.
2. Proportion of people who know what their medications are for.

There are two survey items that correspond to the Medication domain.

Un-collapsed data for state and settings are shown in Appendix B.

Graph 89. Proportion of people who take medications that help them feel less sad or depressed


Graph 90. Proportion of people who understand what their prescription medications are for (if take prescription medications)


## Rights and Respect

People receive the same respect and protections as others in the community.
There are two Rights and Respect indicators measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

1. Proportion of people whose basic rights are respected by others.
2. Proportion of people whose staff/worker/caregiver treat them with respect.

There are eight survey items that correspond to the Rights and Respect domain.

Un-collapsed data for state and settings are shown in Appendix B.

Graph 91. Proportion of people who feel that their paid support staff treat them with respect


Graph 92. Proportion of people who report that others ask permission before entering their home/room (if in group setting) ${ }^{17}$


[^7]Graph 93. Proportion of people who are able to lock the doors to their room if they want to (if in group setting)


Graph 94. Proportion of people who have enough privacy in their home (if in group setting)


Graph 95. Proportion of people who are able to have visitors come at any time (if in group setting)


Graph 96. Proportion of people who can use the phone privately whenever they want to (if in group setting)


Graph 97. Proportion of people who have access to food at all times of day (if in group setting)


Graph 98. Proportion of people whose mail or email is read without asking them first (if in group setting)


## Self-Direction of Care

People have authority and are supported to direct and manage their own services.

There are two Self-Direction of Care indicators measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

1. Proportion of people self-directing.
2. Proportion of people who can choose or change the kind of services they receive and who provides them.

There are four survey items that correspond to the Self-Direction of Care domain. Proportion of people self-directing is derived from state administrative records.

Un-collapsed data for state and settings are shown in Appendix B.

Graph 99. Proportion of people who are participating in a self-directed supports option (as defined by their State-data for this indicator come directly from State administrative records)

| Proportion of people who are participating in a selfdirected supports option |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100\% |  |  |
| 80\% |  |  |
| 60\% |  |  |
| 40\% |  |  |
| 20\% | 9\% | 1\% |
|  |  |  |
| 0\% | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HCBW-PD } \\ & \mathrm{N}=243 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HCBW-FE } \\ \mathrm{N}=142 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | -State Average (4\%) N= 396 |  |

Graph 100. Proportion of people who can choose or change what kind of services they get ${ }^{18}$


[^8]Graph 101. Proportion of people who can choose or change how often and when they get services ${ }^{19}$


Graph 102. Proportion of people who can change their paid support staff ${ }^{20}$

${ }^{20}$ New variable

## Work

People have support to find and maintain community integrated employment if they want it.

There are five Work indicators measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

1. Proportion of people who have a paid job.
2. Proportion of people who would like a job.
3. Proportion of people who have had job search assistance.
4. Proportion of people who volunteer.
5. Proportion of people who would like to volunteer.

There are five survey items that correspond to the Work domain.

Un-collapsed for state and settings are shown in Appendix B.

Graph 103. Proportion of people who have a paying job in the community

Proportion of people who have a paying job in the community, either full-time or part-time


Graph 104. Proportion of people who would like a job (if not currently employed)


Graph 105. Proportion of people who reported that someone has talked to them about job options (if wanted a job)


[^9]Graph 106. Proportion of people who do volunteer work

| Proportion of people who do volunteer work |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100\% |  |  |
| 80\% |  |  |
| 60\% |  |  |
| 40\% |  |  |
| 20\% |  |  |
| 0\% | 6\% | 1\% |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { HCBW-PD } \\ \mathrm{N}=239 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HCBW-FE } \\ \mathrm{N}=141 \end{gathered}$ |

Graph 107. Proportion of people who would like to do volunteer work (if not
currently volunteering) ${ }^{21}$

${ }^{21}$ New variable

## Everyday Living

People have enough supports for everyday living.

There are two Everyday Living indicators measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

1. Proportion of people who have adequate support to perform activities of daily living (bathing, toileting, taking meds, etc.) and instrumental activities of daily living (cleaning, laundry, etc.)
2. Proportion of people who have access to healthy foods.

There are five survey items that correspond to the Everyday Living domain.

Un-collapsed data for state and settings are shown in Appendix B.

Graph 108. Proportion of people who generally need a lot or some assistance with everyday activities (things like preparing meals, housework, shopping or taking their medications)


Graph 109. Proportion of people who always get enough assistance with everyday activities when they need it (if need any assistance) (things like preparing meals, housework, shopping or taking their medications)


Graph 110. Proportion of people who generally need a lot or some assistance for self-care (things like bathing, dressing, going to the bathroom, eating, or moving around their home)


Graph 111. Proportion of people who always get enough assistance with selfcare when they need it (if need any assistance) (things like bathing, dressing, going to the bathroom, eating, or moving around their home)


Graph 112. Proportion of people who have access to healthy foods like fruits and vegetables when they want them


## Affordability

People have enough available resources.

There is one Affordability indicator measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

1. Proportion of people who have ever had to cut back on food because of money.

There is one survey item that corresponds to the Affordability domain.
Un-collapsed data for state and settings are shown in Appendix B.

Graph 113. Proportion of people who ever have to skip a meal due to
financial worries


## Planning for future

People have support to plan and make decision about the future.

There is one Planning for Future indicator measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

1. Proportion of people who want help planning for future need for services.

There is one survey item that corresponds to the Planning for Future domain.

Un-collapsed data for state and settings are shown in Appendix B.

Graph 114. Proportion of people who want help planning for their future
need for services


## Control

## People feel in control of their lives

There is one Control indicator measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

1. Proportion of people who feel in control of their lives.

There is one survey item that corresponds to the Control domain.
This section also includes presentation of results on a ranking of what is most important to people surveyed ${ }^{22}$.

Un-collapsed data for state and settings are shown in Appendix B.
${ }^{22}$ Data shown in Appendix B only

Graph 115. Proportion of people who never feel in control of their life

| Proportion of people who never feel in control of their life |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100\% |  |  |
| 80\% |  |  |
| 60\% |  |  |
| 40\% | 15\% | 15\% |
| 20\% |  |  |
| 0\% | $\begin{gathered} \text { HCBW-PD } \\ \mathrm{N}=191 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HCBW-FE } \\ \mathrm{N}=99 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | -State Average (15\%) $\mathrm{N}=298$ |  |

Appendix A: Rules for Recoding and Collapsing Responses

Table A1 below details collapsing and recoding logic for items that were measured using anything other than a "Yes/No" binary response. The number in the third column refers to the graph number in the report where the item can be found. Unless otherwise stated, "don' know" and "unclear/refused" responses are excluded from both numerator and denominator.

Table A1. Outcome Variables - Collapsing Rules

| Domain | Item | Graph \# | Recoding/Collapsing Logic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Community Participation | Proportion of people who are as active in the community as they would like to be | 1 | Collapse "No" and "Sometimes" |
| Choice and Decision Making | Proportion of people who get up and go to bed at the time they want | 4 | Collapse "Some days, sometimes" and "No, never" |
|  | Proportion of people who can eat their meals when they want | 5 | Collapse "Some days, sometimes" and "No, never" |
|  | Proportion of people who are able to decide how to furnish and decorate their room (if in group setting) | 6 | Collapse "In most ways" and "Only in some ways, or not at all" |
| Relationships | Proportion of people who can always or almost always see or talk to friends and family when they want to (if there are friends and family who do not live with person) | 7 | Collapse "Most of the time, usually, or some family and/or friends" and "No, or rarely" |
| Satisfaction | Proportion of people who like where they are living | 8 | Collapse "In-between, most of the time" and "No" |
|  | Proportion of people who would prefer to live somewhere else | 9 | Collapse "Yes" and "Maybe" |
|  | Proportion of people who like how they usually spend their time during the day | 10 | Collapse "Some days, sometimes" and "No, never" |
|  | Proportion of people whose paid support staff change too often | 11 | Collapse "Yes" and "Some, or sometimes" |
|  | Proportion of people whose paid support staff do things the way they want them done | 12 | Collapse "Some, or usually" and "No, never or rarely" |
| Service Coordination | Proportion of people who know whom to contact if they want to make changes to their services | 13 | Collapse "Not sure, maybe" and "No" |
|  | Proportion of people who can reach their case manager/ care coordinator when they need to (if they know they have a case manager/ care coordinator) | 14 | Collapse "Most of the time, usually" and "No, or only sometimes" |


| Domain | Item | Graph \# | Recoding/Collapsing Logic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Proportion of people whose paid support staff show up and leave when they are supposed to | 15 | Collapse "Some, or usually" and "No, never or rarely" |
|  | Proportion of people whose services meet all their needs and goals | 18 | Collapse "No, not at all, needs or goals are not met" and "Some needs and goals" |
|  | Proportion of people whose family member (unpaid or paid) is the person who helps them most often | 20 | Collapse "Paid family member or spouse/partner" and "Unpaid family member or spouse/partner" |
|  | Proportion of people whose family member (unpaid or paid) provides additional assistance | 21 | Add percentages for "Paid family member or spouse/partner" and "Unpaid family member or spouse/partner" |
| Care Coordination | Proportion of people who reported feeling comfortable and supported enough to go home after being discharged from a hospital or rehab facility (if occurred in the past year) | 24 | Collapse "No" and "In-between" |
|  | Proportion of people who reported they know how to manage their chronic conditions | 27 | Collapse "No" and "In-between, or some conditions" |
| Access | Proportion of people who have transportation when they want to do things outside of their home | 28 | Collapse "No" and "Sometimes" |
|  | Proportion of people who have transportation to get to medical appointments when they need to | 29 | Collapse "No" and "Sometimes" |
|  | Proportion of people who receive information about their services in the language they prefer (if non-English) | 30 | Collapse "No" and "Some information" |
| Safety | Proportion of people who have concerns about falling or being unstable (or about whom there are concerns) | 65 | Collapse "Yes, often" and "Sometimes" |
| Health Care | Proportion of people who can get an appointment to see their primary care doctor when they need to | 71 | Collapse "Usually" and "No, rarely" |
| Wellness | Proportion of people who describe their overall health as poor | 79 | Collapse "Excellent", "Very good", "Good" and "Fair" |


| Domain | Item | Graph \# | Recoding/Collapsing Logic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Proportion of people whose who reported their health has gotten much better or somewhat better compared to 12 months ago | 80 | Collapse "Much better" and "Somewhat better"; Collapse "Much worse", "Somewhat worse" and "About the same" |
|  | Proportion of people who feel sad or depressed at least sometimes or often | 84 | Collapse "Often" and "Sometimes"; Collapse "Not often" and "Never, or almost never" |
|  | Proportion of people who describe their hearing as poor (taking into account hearing aids, if any) | 86 | Collapse "Very good" and "Fair" |
|  | Proportion of people who describe their vision as poor (taking into account glasses or contacts, if any) | 87 | Collapse "Very good" and "Fair" |
| Medications | Proportion of people who understand why they take their prescription medications and what they are for (if take or are supposed to take prescription medications) | 90 | Collapse "No" and "In-between, or some medications" |
| Rights and Respect | Proportion of people who feel that their paid support staff treat them with respect | 91 | Collapse "No, never or rarely" and "Some, or usually" |
|  | Proportion of people who get asked permission before people enter their home/room (if in group setting) | 92 | Collapse "Sometimes, rarely or never" and "Usually, but not always" |
|  | Proportion of people who have enough privacy in their home (if in group setting) | 94 | Collapse "Sometimes, rarely or never" and "Usually, but not always" |
|  | Proportion of people who can use the phone privately whenever they want to (if in group setting) | 96 | Collapse "No, never or rarely" and "Usually" |
| Self-Direction of Care | Proportion of people who can choose or change what kind of services they get | 100 | Collapse "No" and "Sometimes, or some services" |
|  | Proportion of people who can choose or change how often and when they get services | 101 | Collapse "No" and "Sometimes, or some services" |
|  | Proportion of people who can change their paid support staff | 102 | Collapse "No" and "Sometimes, or some services" |
| Work | Proportion of people who would like a job (if not currently employed) | 104 | Collapse "Yes" and "Maybe, not sure" |
|  | Proportion of people who would like to do volunteer work (if does not currently volunteer) | 107 | Collapse "Yes" and "Maybe, not sure" |


| Domain | Item | Graph \# | Recoding/Collapsing Logic |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Everyday Living | Proportion of people who generally need a lot or some <br> assistance with everyday activities (Things like preparing meals, <br> housework, shopping or taking their medications) | 108 | Collapse "A lot" and "Some" |
|  | Proportion of people who generally need a lot or some <br> assistance with self-care (Things like bathing, dressing, going to <br> the bathroom, eating, or moving around their home) | 110 | Collapse "A lot" and "Some" |
|  | Proportion of people who have access to healthy foods like fruits <br> and vegetables when they want them | 112 | Collapse "No, never" and "Sometimes" |
| Affordability | Proportion of people who ever have to skip a meal due to <br> financial worries | 113 | Collapse "Yes, often" and "Sometimes" |
| Control | Proportion of people who never feel in control of their life | 115 | Collapse "Yes, almost always, always" <br> and "In-between, sometimes" |

Appendix B: Un-Collapsed and Un-Weighted Data by Program

## Demographic Tables

Table 1. Average age (reported for those under 90 years of age)

|  | Average Age | N |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| HCBW-PD | 70.4 | 214 |
| HCBW-FE | 78.3 | 112 |
| Unknown | 77.0 | 7 |
| Sample Average | 73.2 | 333 |

Table 2. Proportion of individuals 90 years of age and over

|  | Under 90 | 90 and Over | N |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCBW-PD | $88 \%$ | $12 \%$ | 243 |
| HCBW-FE | $79 \%$ | $21 \%$ | 142 |
| Unknown | $64 \%$ | $36 \%$ | 11 |
| Sample Average | $84 \%$ | $16 \%$ | 396 |

Table 3. Gender: proportion female

|  | Male | Female | Other | Don t Know |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCBW-PD | $30 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | 243 |
| HCBW-FE | $32 \%$ | $68 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | 142 |
| Unknown | $18 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | 11 |
| Sample Average | $31 \%$ | $69 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | 396 |

Table 4. Race and ethnicity

|  | American Indian or Alaska Native | Asian | Black or African American | Pacific Islander | White | Hispanic or Latino | Other | Don t know | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 1\% | 8\% | 17\% | 1\% | 66\% | 8\% | 0\% | 2\% | 242 |
| HCBW-FE | 1\% | 4\% | 7\% | 1\% | 75\% | 11\% | 0\% | 3\% | 142 |
| Unknown | 0\% | 0\% | 18\% | 0\% | 73\% | 18\% | 0\% | 0\% | 11 |
| Sample Average | 1\% | 7\% | 13\% | 1\% | 69\% | 10\% | 0\% | 3\% | 395 |

Table 5. Marital status

|  | Single, Never Married | Married or Has Domestic Partner | Separated or Divorced | Widowed | Don't Know | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 30\% | 10\% | 28\% | 28\% | 4\% | 236 |
| HCBW-FE | 18\% | 9\% | 24\% | 45\% | 4\% | 141 |
| Unknown | 10\% | 10\% | 30\% | 50\% | 0\% | 10 |
| Sample Average | 25\% | 10\% | 27\% | 35\% | 4\% | 387 |

Table 6. Primary language

|  | English | Spanish | Other | Don t know |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCBW-PD | $94 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $2 \%$ | N |  |
| HCBW-FE | $94 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $0 \%$ |  |  |
| Unknown | $91 \%$ | $9 \%$ | 241 |  |  |
| Sample Average | $94 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $0 \%$ | 142 |  |

Table 7. Preferred means of communication

|  | Spoken | Sign Language or Finger Spelling | Communication Aid or Device | Other | Don t Know | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | 243 |
| HCBW-FE | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 1\% | 142 |
| Unknown | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 11 |
| Sample Average | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 1\% | 396 |

Table 8. Type of residential area ${ }^{23}$

|  | Metropolitan | Micropolitan | Rural | Small town | Unknown | N |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCBW-PD | $94 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | 243 |
| HCBW-FE | $89 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $0 \%$ | 142 |
| Unknown | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | 11 |
| Sample Average | $92 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ | 396 |

Table 9. Type of residence

|  | Own or Family Home | Group Home, Adult Family Home, Foster, Host Home | Assisted Living Facility, Residential Care Facility | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Nursing } \\ \text { Facility, } \\ \text { Nursing Home } \end{array}$ | Homeless, Temporary Shelter | Other | Don t Know | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 62\% | 20\% | 17\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 239 |
| HCBW-FE | 45\% | 38\% | 16\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | 141 |
| Unknown | 45\% | 36\% | 18\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 11 |
| Sample Average | 55\% | 27\% | 17\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | 391 |

[^10]Table 10. Who the person lives with

|  | Alone | Spouse or Partner | Other <br> Family | Friend(s) | Live in PCA | Others (not family, friend, or PCA) | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 34\% | 8\% | 24\% | 3\% | 6\% | 31\% | 235 |
| HCBW-FE | 22\% | 7\% | 20\% | 1\% | 13\% | 52\% | 141 |
| Unknown | 10\% | 10\% | 10\% | 10\% | 0\% | 60\% | 10 |
| Sample Average | 29\% | 8\% | 22\% | 2\% | 8\% | 40\% | 386 |

Table 11. Proportion of people whose address changed in the past 6 months

|  | No | Yes | N |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCBW-PD | $94 \%$ | $6 \%$ | 237 |
| HCBW-FE | $85 \%$ | $15 \%$ | 142 |
| Unknown | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | 10 |
| Sample Average | $91 \%$ | $9 \%$ | 389 |

Table 12. Where the person moved from (if address changed in the past 6 months)

|  | Own or Family Home | Group Home, Adult Family Home, Foster, Host Home | Assisted Living Facility, Residential Care Facility | Nursing Facility, Nursing Home | Homeless, Temporary Shelter | Other | Don t <br> Know | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 36\% | 29\% | 21\% | 0\% | 0\% | 7\% | 7\% | 14 |
| HCBW-FE | 50\% | 32\% | 5\% | 9\% | 0\% | 0\% | 5\% | 22 |
| Unknown | 44\% | 31\% | 11\% | 6\% | 0\% | 3\% | 6\% | 36 |
| Sample Average | 36\% | 29\% | 21\% | 0\% | 0\% | 7\% | 7\% | 14 |

Table 13. Proportion of people with diagnosis of Physical Disability

|  | No | Yes | Don t Know | N |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCBW-PD | $29 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $0 \%$ | 237 |
| HCBW-FE | $78 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $0 \%$ | 141 |
| Unknown | $25 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $0 \%$ | 8 |
| Sample Average | $47 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $0 \%$ | 386 |

Table 14. Proportion of people with diagnosis of Alzheimer's or other dementia

|  | No | Yes | Don t Know | N |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCBW-PD | $76 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $0 \%$ | 237 |
| HCBW-FE | $41 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $0 \%$ | 139 |
| Unknown | $63 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $0 \%$ | 8 |
| Sample Average | $63 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $0 \%$ | 384 |

Table 15. Proportion of people with diagnosis of Traumatic or Acquired Brain Injury

|  | No | Yes | Don t Know | N |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCBW-PD | $93 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $0 \%$ | 235 |
| HCBW-FE | $98 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $0 \%$ | 142 |
| Unknown | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | 7 |
| Sample Average | $95 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $0 \%$ | 384 |

Table 16. Proportion of people with diagnosis of Intellectual or Developmental Disability

|  | No | Yes | Don t Know | N |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCBW-PD | $96 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $1 \%$ | 237 |
| HCBW-FE | $99 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $0 \%$ | 142 |
| Unknown | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | 7 |
| Sample Average | $97 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $1 \%$ | 386 |

Table 17. Level of mobility

|  | Non ambulatory | Moves Self with Wheelchair | Moves Self with Other Aids | Moves Self Without Aids | Don t know | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 15\% | 41\% | 68\% | 21\% | 0\% | 238 |
| HCBW-FE | 4\% | 34\% | 73\% | 28\% | 1\% | 141 |
| Unknown | 14\% | 29\% | 71\% | 29\% | 0\% | 7 |
| Sample Average | 11\% | 38\% | 70\% | 24\% | 0\% | 386 |

Table 18. History of frequent falls

|  | No | Yes | Don t Know | N |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCBW-PD | $76 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $0 \%$ | 241 |
| HCBW-FE | $86 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $1 \%$ | 142 |
| Unknown | $75 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $0 \%$ | 8 |
| Sample Average | $79 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $1 \%$ | 391 |

Table 19. Receives Medicare

|  | No | Yes | N |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCBW-PD | $43 \%$ | $54 \%$ | 235 |
| HCBW-FE | $35 \%$ | $65 \%$ | 142 |
| Unknown | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | 4 |
| Sample Average | $41 \%$ | $58 \%$ | 381 |

## Community Participation- un-collapsed tables

Table 20. Proportion of people who are as active in the community as they would like to be

|  | No | Sometimes | Yes | Don t Know | Unclear/ Refused/ No Response | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 51\% | 12\% | 36\% | 1\% | 1\% | 190 |
| HCBW-FE | 54\% | 9\% | 31\% | 1\% | 5\% | 102 |
| Unknown | 50\% | 25\% | 25\% | 0\% | 0\% | 8 |
| Sample Average | 52\% | 11\% | 34\% | 1\% | 2\% | 300 |

Table 21a. Reasons that people are not as active in the community as they would like to be

|  | Cost or Money | Transportation | Accessibility or Lack of Equipment | Health Limitations | Not Enough Support | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 25\% | 36\% | 25\% | 73\% | 19\% | 118 |
| HCBW-FE | 20\% | 34\% | 17\% | 55\% | 8\% | 64 |
| Unknown | 40\% | 40\% | 60\% | 60\% | 0\% | 5 |
| Sample Average | 24\% | 35\% | 23\% | 66\% | 14\% | 187 |

Table 21b. Reasons that people are not as active in the community as they would like to be (continued)

|  | Feeling Unwelcome in Community | Feeling Unsafe | No Community Activities Outside of Home | Lack of Information, or Doesn't Know What s Available | Other | Don t Know | Unclear/ Refused/ No Response | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 6\% | 2\% | 8\% | 12\% | 7\% | 3\% | 0\% | 118 |
| HCBW-FE | 3\% | 5\% | 3\% | 8\% | 36\% | 3\% | 0\% | 64 |
| Unknown | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 20\% | 0\% | 0\% | 5 |
| Sample Average | 5\% | 3\% | 6\% | 10\% | 17\% | 3\% | 0\% | 187 |

Table 22. Proportion of people who have tried to leave the house to go somewhere in the past week and have not been able to

|  | No | Yes | Don t Know | Unclear/Refused/ No Response | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 84\% | 14\% | 1\% | 1\% | 184 |
| HCBW-FE | 86\% | 9\% | 3\% | 2\% | 100 |
| Unknown | 86\% | 14\% | 0\% | 0\% | 7 |
| Sample Average | 85\% | 12\% | 1\% | 1\% | 291 |

## Choice and Decision Making - un-collapsed

Table 23. Proportion of people who are able to choose their roommate (if in group setting)

|  | No | Yes | Don t Know | Unclear/Refused/No Response | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 78\% | 22\% | 0\% | 0\% | 36 |
| HCBW-FE | 74\% | 7\% | 7\% | 11\% | 27 |
| Unknown | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 3 |
| Sample Average | 77\% | 15\% | 3\% | 5\% | 66 |

Table 24. Proportion of people who get up and go to bed at the time when they want

|  | No, Never | Some Days, <br> Sometimes | Yes, Always, Or <br> Almost Always | Don t Know | Unclear/Refused/ <br> No Response | N |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCBW-PD | $5 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $88 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | 189 |
| HCBW-FE | $3 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $88 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | 101 |
| Unknown | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | 8 |
| Sample Average | $4 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $88 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $1 \%$ | 298 |

Table 25. Proportion of people who can eat their meals when they want

|  | No, Never | Some Days, Sometimes | Yes, Always, Or Almost Always | N/A Person Unable to Eat Due to Medical Condition | Don t <br> Know | Unclear/Refused/ No Response | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 9\% | 10\% | 80\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 191 |
| HCBW-FE | 22\% | 6\% | 69\% | 0\% | 0\% | 3\% | 101 |
| Unknown | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 8 |
| Sample Average | 13\% | 8\% | 77\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 300 |

Table 26. Proportion of people who are able to decide how to furnish and decorate their room (if in group setting)

|  | No | In between, Able to Decide Some Ways | Yes | Don t Know | Unclear/Refused/ No Response | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 6\% | 6\% | 87\% | 2\% | 0\% | 54 |
| HCBW-FE | 6\% | 20\% | 61\% | 10\% | 2\% | 49 |
| Unknown | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 3 |
| Sample Average | 6\% | 12\% | 75\% | 6\% | 1\% | 106 |

## Relationships- un-collapsed

Table 27. Proportion of people who can always or almost always see or talk to friends and family when they want to

|  | No, or Only Sometimes | Most of the Time, Usually, or Some Family and/or Friends | Yes, Always, or Chooses Not to | Don t Know | Unclear/Refused/ No Response | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 4\% | 7\% | 87\% | 0\% | 3\% | 193 |
| HCBW-FE | 6\% | 10\% | 75\% | 6\% | 3\% | 87 |
| Unknown | 0\% | 11\% | 89\% | 0\% | 0\% | 9 |
| Sample Average | 4\% | 8\% | 83\% | 2\% | 3\% | 289 |

Table 28. Reasons people cannot always see friends/family

|  | Availability of Transportation | Accessibility | Staffing or Personal Assistance Unavailable | Health Limitations | Someone Prevents Them or There are Restrictions | Other | Unclear/ Refused/ No Response | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 19\% | 33\% | 0\% | 38\% | 10\% | 19\% | 5\% | 21 |
| HCBW-FE | 23\% | 15\% | 0\% | 8\% | 0\% | 54\% | 23\% | 13 |
| Unknown | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1 |
| Sample Average | 23\% | 26\% | 0\% | 26\% | 6\% | 31\% | 11\% | 35 |

## Satisfaction- un-collapsed

Table 29. Proportion of people who like where they are living

|  | No | In between, Most of the Time | Yes | Don t Know | Unclear/Refused/ No Response | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 7\% | 12\% | 69\% | 6\% | 7\% | 239 |
| HCBW-FE | 7\% | 8\% | 68\% | 9\% | 9\% | 137 |
| Unknown | 9\% | 27\% | 55\% | 9\% | 0\% | 11 |
| Sample Average | 7\% | 11\% | 68\% | 7\% | 7\% | 387 |

Table 30a. Reasons for not liking where people live

|  | Accessibility | Neighborhood | Feels Unsafe in Home | Home or Building Needs Repairs or Upkeep | Does Not Feel Like Home | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 18\% | 5\% | 2\% | 11\% | 27\% | 44 |
| HCBW-FE | 10\% | 5\% | 10\% | 10\% | 0\% | 20 |
| Unknown | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 50\% | 4 |
| Sample Average | 15\% | 4\% | 4\% | 10\% | 21\% | 68 |

Table 30b. Reasons for not liking where people live (continued)

|  | Layout or Size of Home or Building | Problems With Neighbors, Residents, Housemates, or Roommates | Problems With Staff | Insufficient Amount or Type of Staff | Wants More Independence and Control | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 7\% | 11\% | 11\% | 2\% | 2\% | 44 |
| HCBW-FE | 10\% | 15\% | 25\% | 5\% | 25\% | 20 |
| Unknown | 25\% | 25\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4 |
| Sample Average | 9\% | 13\% | 15\% | 3\% | 9\% | 68 |

Table 30c. Reasons for not liking where people live (continued)

|  | Wants More Privacy | Wants to Be Closer to Family or Friends | Feels Isolated From Community or Feels Lonely | Other | Don t Know | Unclear/Refused/ No Response | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 7\% | 0\% | 7\% | 36\% | 2\% | 2\% | 44 |
| HCBW-FE | 0\% | 10\% | 5\% | 50\% | 5\% | 0\% | 20 |
| Unknown | 0\% | 25\% | 0\% | 25\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4 |
| Sample Average | 4\% | 4\% | 6\% | 40\% | 3\% | 1\% | 68 |

Table 31. Proportion of people who would prefer to live somewhere else

|  | No | Maybe | Yes | Unclear/Refused/No Response |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCBW-PD | $56 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $12 \%$ |  |
| HCBW-FE | $57 \%$ | $10 \%$ | 234 |  |  |
| Unknown | $73 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $17 \%$ |  |
| Sample Average | $57 \%$ | $27 \%$ | 133 |  |  |

Table 32a. Where people would prefer to live (if would prefer to live somewhere else)

|  | Different Own Home | Family Member s Home | Assisted Living | Group Home, Adult Family Home, Shared Living | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 55\% | 10\% | 17\% | 0\% | 77 |
| HCBW-FE | 41\% | 9\% | 15\% | 0\% | 34 |
| Unknown | 33\% | 67\% | 0\% | 0\% | 3 |
| Sample Average | 50\% | 11\% | 16\% | 0\% | 114 |

Table 32b. Where people would prefer to live (if would prefer to live somewhere else, continued)

|  | Nursing Facility | Other | Don't Know | Unclear/Refused/No Response | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 0\% | 13\% | 4\% | 1\% | 77 |
| HCBW-FE | 0\% | 21\% | 15\% | 0\% | 34 |
| Unknown | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 3 |
| Sample Average | 0\% | 15\% | 7\% | 1\% | 114 |

Table 33. Proportion of people who like how they usually spend their time during the day

|  | No, Never | Some Days, Sometimes | Yes, Always, or Almost Always | Don't Know | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Unclear/ } \\ \text { Refused/ } \\ \text { No Response } \end{array}$ | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 15\% | 33\% | 52\% | 1\% | 0\% | 192 |
| HCBW-FE | 5\% | 19\% | 73\% | 1\% | 2\% | 100 |
| Unknown | 13\% | 25\% | 63\% | 0\% | 0\% | 8 |
| Sample Average | 11\% | 28\% | 59\% | 1\% | 1\% | 300 |

Table 34. Proportion of people whose paid support staff change too often

|  | No | Some or Sometimes | Yes | Paid Support Person(s) are Live in | Don't <br> Know | Unclear/Refused/ No Response | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 69\% | 16\% | 13\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 159 |
| HCBW-FE | 58\% | 8\% | 14\% | 4\% | 15\% | 1\% | 74 |
| Unknown | 83\% | 0\% | 17\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 6 |
| Sample Average | 66\% | 13\% | 13\% | 2\% | 5\% | 1\% | 239 |

Table 35. Proportion of people whose paid support staff do things the way they want them done

|  | No, Never or Rarely | Some, or Usually | Yes, All Paid Support Workers, Always or Almost Always | Don't Know | Unclear/Refused/ No Response | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 3\% | 14\% | 82\% | 1\% | 0\% | 158 |
| HCBW-FE | 7\% | 11\% | 81\% | 1\% | 0\% | 74 |
| Unknown | 17\% | 17\% | 67\% | 0\% | 0\% | 6 |
| Sample Average | 4\% | 13\% | 82\% | 1\% | 0\% | 238 |

## Service Coordination- un-collapsed

Table 36. Proportion of people who know whom to contact if they want to make changes to their services

|  | No | Maybe, Not Sure | Yes | Unclear/Refused/No Response |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCBW-PD | $12 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $1 \%$ |  |
| HCBW-FE | $14 \%$ | $17 \%$ | 233 |  |  |
| Unknown | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $1 \%$ |  |  |
| Sample Average | $13 \%$ | $14 \%$ | 138 |  |  |

Table 37. Proportion of people who can reach their case manager/care coordinator when they need to (if know they have case manager/care coordinator)

|  | No, or Only Sometimes | Most of the Time, Usually | Yes, Always | Don t Know | Unclear/Refused/ No Response | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 4\% | 10\% | 84\% | 2\% | 0\% | 206 |
| HCBW-FE | 4\% | 7\% | 82\% | 6\% | 0\% | 124 |
| Unknown | 0\% | 13\% | 88\% | 0\% | 0\% | 8 |
| Sample Average | 4\% | 9\% | 83\% | 4\% | 0\% | 338 |

Table 38. Proportion of people whose paid support staff show up and leave when they are supposed to

|  | No, Never Or Rarely | Some, Or Usually | Yes, All Paid Support Workers, Always, Or Almost Always | Paid Support Person/S Are Live In | Don t Know | Unclear/ Refused/ No Response | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 3\% | 10\% | 83\% | 3\% | 1\% | 0\% | 174 |
| HCBW-FE | 5\% | 5\% | 64\% | 11\% | 15\% | 0\% | 84 |
| Unknown | 0\% | 17\% | 83\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 6 |
| Sample Average | 3\% | 9\% | 77\% | 5\% | 6\% | 0\% | 264 |

Table 39. Proportion of people who have an emergency plan in place

|  | No | Yes | Don t Know | Unclear/Refused/ <br> No Response |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCBW-PD | $48 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ |  |
| HCBW-FE | $40 \%$ | $49 \%$ | 240 |  |  |
| Unknown | $36 \%$ | $64 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $2 \%$ |  |
| Sample Average | $45 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $0 \%$ | 142 |  |

Table 40. Proportion of people who want help planning for their future need for services

|  | No | Yes | Don t Know | Unclear/Refused/ <br> No Response |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCBW-PD | $42 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $0 \%$ |  |
| HCBW-FE | $59 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $8 \%$ | 188 |  |
| Unknown | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $0 \%$ |  |  |
| Sample Average | $48 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $0 \%$ | 101 |

Table 41. Proportion of people whose services meet all their needs and goals

|  | No, Not At All, Needs Or Goals Are Not Met | Some Needs And Goals | Yes, Completely, All Needs And Goals | Don t Know | Unclear/Refused/ No Response | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 12\% | 30\% | 58\% | 0\% | 0\% | 240 |
| HCBW-FE | 8\% | 25\% | 63\% | 3\% | 1\% | 136 |
| Unknown | 10\% | 20\% | 70\% | 0\% | 0\% | 10 |
| Sample Average | 10\% | 28\% | 60\% | 1\% | 0\% | 386 |

Table 42a. Additional services that may help if not all needs and goals are met

|  | Personal Care Assistance, Personal Care Services | Home Maker or Chore Services | Healthcare Home Services, Home Health | Home Delivered Meals | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 50\% | 44\% | 28\% | 29\% | 101 |
| HCBW-FE | 47\% | 44\% | 33\% | 20\% | 45 |
| Unknown | 33\% | 0\% | 33\% | 33\% | 3 |
| Sample Average | 48\% | 43\% | 30\% | 26\% | 149 |

Table 42b. Additional services that may help if not all needs and goals are met (continued)

|  | Adult Day Services | Transportation | Respite or Family Caregiver Support | Health Care | Mental Health Care | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 19\% | 37\% | 17\% | 23\% | 12\% | 101 |
| HCBW-FE | 27\% | 38\% | 24\% | 20\% | 9\% | 45 |
| Unknown | 0\% | 0\% | 33\% | 0\% | 0\% | 3 |
| Sample Average | 21\% | 36\% | 19\% | 21\% | 11\% | 149 |

Table 42c. Additional services that may help if not all needs and goals are met (continued)

|  | Dental Care | Housing Assistance | Heating/Cooling Assistance | Hospice | Funeral Planning | Other | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 30\% | 48\% | 29\% | 13\% | 16\% | 43\% | 101 |
| HCBW-FE | 38\% | 38\% | 20\% | 20\% | 24\% | 31\% | 45 |
| Unknown | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 67\% | 3 |
| Sample Average | 32\% | 44\% | 26\% | 15\% | 18\% | 40\% | 149 |

Table 43. Proportion of people whose case manager/care coordinator talked to them about services that might help with unmet needs and goals (if have case manager and have unmet needs and goals)

|  | No | Yes | Don t Know | Unclear/Refused/ <br> No Response | N |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCBW-PD | $42 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $0 \%$ | 90 |
| HCBW-FE | $20 \%$ | $65 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $0 \%$ |  |
| Unknown | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | 0 |
| Sample Average | $35 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $0 \%$ | 143 |

Table 44a. How people first find out about the services available to them

|  | Friend | Family | Area Agency on Aging, Aging and Disability Resource Center | Center for Independent Living | State, County Agency | Case Manager, Care Coordinator | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 10\% | 28\% | 3\% | 1\% | 23\% | 9\% | 205 |
| HCBW-FE | 7\% | 29\% | 4\% | 0\% | 22\% | 11\% | 112 |
| Unknown | 11\% | 33\% | 0\% | 0\% | 11\% | 11\% | 9 |
| Sample Average | 9\% | 29\% | 3\% | 1\% | 22\% | 10\% | 326 |

Table 44b. How people first find out about the services available to them (continued)

|  | Doctor | Other Provider | Other | N |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCBW-PD | $7 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $9 \%$ | 205 |
| HCBW-FE | $9 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $9 \%$ | 112 |
| Unknown | $22 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $0 \%$ | 9 |
| Sample Average | $8 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $9 \%$ | 326 |

Table 45a. Who helps them most often

|  | Paid Support Worker Who Is Not a Friend Or Relative | Paid Family Member Or Spouse or Partner | Paid Friend | Unpaid Family Member Or Spouse or Partner | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 75\% | 8\% | 0\% | 14\% | 217 |
| HCBW-FE | 80\% | 6\% | 0\% | 13\% | 119 |
| Unknown | 89\% | 0\% | 0\% | 11\% | 9 |
| Sample Average | 77\% | 7\% | 0\% | 14\% | 345 |

Table 45b. Who helps them most often (continued)

|  | Unpaid Friend Or Volunteer | Other | Don t Know | Unclear/Refused/ No Response | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 217 |
| HCBW-FE | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | 119 |
| Unknown | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 9 |
| Sample Average | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 345 |

Table 46. Who else helps

|  | Paid Support Worker Who Is Not a Friend Or Relative | Paid Family <br> Member, Spouse or Partner | Paid Friend | Unpaid Family Member, Spouse or Partner | Unpaid Friend Or Volunteer | Other | No One Else Provides Support | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 38\% | 4\% | 0\% | 35\% | 6\% | 0\% | 19\% | 201 |
| HCBW-FE | 21\% | 3\% | 2\% | 28\% | 7\% | 3\% | 45\% | 117 |
| Unknown | 38\% | 0\% | 0\% | 63\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 8 |
| Sample Average | 32\% | 4\% | 1\% | 33\% | 6\% | 1\% | 28\% | 326 |

## Care Coordination- un-collapsed

Table 47. Proportion of people who stayed overnight in a hospital or rehabilitation facility (and were discharged to go home) in past year

|  | Yes | No | Don t Know | Unclear/Refused/No Response |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCBW-PD | $70 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |  |
| HCBW-FE | $74 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $4 \%$ | 20 |  |
| Unknown | $91 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $0 \%$ | 141 |  |
| Sample Average | $72 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $0 \%$ |  |  |

Table 48. Proportion of people who reported feeling comfortable and supported enough to go home after being discharged from a hospital or rehabilitation facility (if occurred in the past year)

|  | No | In between | Yes | Don t Know | Unclear/Refused/ No Response | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 13\% | 10\% | 74\% | 3\% | 0\% | 70 |
| HCBW-FE | 3\% | 3\% | 93\% | 0\% | 0\% | 30 |
| Unknown | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1 |
| Sample Average | 10\% | 8\% | 80\% | 2\% | 0\% | 101 |

Table 49. Proportion of people who reported someone followed-up with them after discharge from a hospital or rehabilitation facility (if occurred in the past year)

|  | No | Yes | Did Not Need Or Want Follow Up Care | Don t Know |  | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 21\% | 76\% | 0\% | 3\% | 0\% | 67 |
| HCBW-FE | 13\% | 81\% | 0\% | 6\% | 0\% | 31 |
| Unknown | 0\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1 |
| Sample Average | 18\% | 78\% | 0\% | 4\% | 0\% | 99 |

Table 50. Proportion of people who reported having one or more chronic condition(s)

|  | No | Yes | Don t Know | Unclear/Refused/ <br> No Response |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCBW-PD | $8 \%$ |  |  | N |  |
| HCBW-FE | $11 \%$ | $91 \%$ | $0 \%$ |  |  |
| Unknown | $27 \%$ | $88 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $1 \%$ |  |
| Sample Average | $10 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $0 \%$ | 142 |  |

Table 51. Proportion of people who reported know how to manage their chronic condition(s)

|  | No | In between | Yes | Don t Know | Unclear/ Refused/ No Response | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 5\% | 10\% | 84\% | 0\% | 0\% | 214 |
| HCBW-FE | 3\% | 17\% | 79\% | 1\% | 0\% | 123 |
| Unknown | 13\% | 0\% | 88\% | 0\% | 0\% | 8 |
| Sample Average | 5\% | 12\% | 82\% | 0\% | 0\% | 345 |

## Access-un-collapsed

Table 52. Proportion of people who have transportation when they want to do things outside of their home

|  | No | Sometimes | Yes | Does Not Want to | Don t Know | Unclear/ Refused/ No Response | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 20\% | 14\% | 55\% | 8\% | 3\% | 0\% | 237 |
| HCBW-FE | 26\% | 6\% | 54\% | 11\% | 2\% | 0\% | 141 |
| Unknown | 9\% | 9\% | 73\% | 9\% | 0\% | 0\% | 11 |
| Sample Average | 22\% | 11\% | 55\% | 9\% | 2\% | 0\% | 389 |

Table 53. Proportion of people who have transportation to get to medical appointments when they need to

|  | No | Sometimes | Yes | Doesn t Go to Medical Appointments | Don t <br> Know | Unclear/ Refused/ No Response | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 8\% | 6\% | 72\% | 13\% | 1\% | 0\% | 238 |
| HCBW-FE | 8\% | 6\% | 72\% | 11\% | 2\% | 0\% | 142 |
| Unknown | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 11 |
| Sample Average | 8\% | 6\% | 73\% | 12\% | 1\% | 0\% | 391 |

Table 54. Proportion of people who receive information about their services in the language they prefer (if non-English)

|  | No | Some Information | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Yes, All } \\ \text { Information } \end{array}$ | Don t Know | Unclear/ Refused/ No Response | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 5\% | 12\% | 82\% | 1\% | 0\% | 101 |
| HCBW-FE | 14\% | 33\% | 48\% | 5\% | 0\% | 21 |
| Unknown | 0\% | 25\% | 75\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4 |
| Sample Average | 6\% | 16\% | 76\% | 2\% | 0\% | 126 |

Table 55. Proportion of people who need grab bars in the bathroom or elsewhere in home

|  | Does Not Need | Has One, And Doesn t Need Upgrade | Has One, But Needs Upgrade | Needs One | Don t <br> Know | Unclear/ Refused/ No Response | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 13\% | 58\% | 12\% | 15\% | 1\% | 0\% | 242 |
| HCBW-FE | 6\% | 77\% | 5\% | 11\% | 1\% | 1\% | 142 |
| Unknown | 9\% | 55\% | 9\% | 27\% | 0\% | 0\% | 11 |
| Sample Average | 10\% | 65\% | 10\% | 14\% | 1\% | 0\% | 395 |

Table 56. Proportion of people who need bathroom modifications (other than grab bars)

|  | Does Not Need | Has One, And Doesn t Need Upgrade | Has One, But Needs Upgrade | Needs One | Don t <br> Know | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Unclear/ } \\ \text { Refused/ } \\ \text { No Response } \end{array}$ | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 14\% | 58\% | 14\% | 14\% | 0\% | 0\% | 243 |
| HCBW-FE | 20\% | 61\% | 10\% | 8\% | 0\% | 0\% | 142 |
| Unknown | 9\% | 64\% | 0\% | 27\% | 0\% | 0\% | 11 |
| Sample Average | 16\% | 59\% | 12\% | 12\% | 0\% | 0\% | 396 |

Table 57. Proportion of people who need a specialized bed

|  | Does Not Need | Has One, And Doesn t Need Upgrade | Has One, But Needs Upgrade | Needs One | Don t Know | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Unclear/ } \\ \text { Refused/ } \\ \text { No Response } \end{array}$ | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 41\% | 27\% | 8\% | 22\% | 2\% | 0\% | 237 |
| HCBW-FE | 57\% | 27\% | 4\% | 11\% | 1\% | 0\% | 142 |
| Unknown | 45\% | 36\% | 0\% | 18\% | 0\% | 0\% | 11 |
| Sample Average | 47\% | 27\% | 7\% | 18\% | 1\% | 0\% | 390 |

Table 58. Proportion of people who need a ramp or stair lift in or outside the home

|  | Does Not Need | Has One, And Doesn t Need Upgrade | Has One, But Needs Upgrade | Needs One | Don t Know | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Unclear/ } \\ \text { Refused/ } \\ \text { No Response } \end{array}$ | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 54\% | 37\% | 1\% | 7\% | 0\% | 0\% | 242 |
| HCBW-FE | 32\% | 53\% | 2\% | 13\% | 0\% | 0\% | 142 |
| Unknown | 55\% | 27\% | 0\% | 18\% | 0\% | 0\% | 11 |
| Sample Average | 46\% | 42\% | 2\% | 10\% | 0\% | 0\% | 395 |

Table 59. Proportion of people who need a remote monitoring system

|  | Does Not Need | Has One, And Doesn t Need Upgrade | Has One, But Needs Upgrade | Needs One | Don t Know | $\begin{gathered} \text { Unclear/ } \\ \text { Refused/ } \\ \text { No Response } \end{gathered}$ | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 83\% | 0\% | 3\% | 11\% | 2\% | 0\% | 241 |
| HCBW-FE | 89\% | 0\% | 4\% | 6\% | 1\% | 0\% | 142 |
| Unknown | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 10 |
| Sample Average | 85\% | 0\% | 3\% | 9\% | 2\% | 0\% | 393 |

Table 60. Proportion of people who need an emergency response system

|  | Does Not Need | Has One, And Doesn t Need Upgrade | Has One, But Needs Upgrade | Needs One | Don t Know | Unclear/ Refused/ No Response | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 33\% | 48\% | 7\% | 12\% | 0\% | 0\% | 242 |
| HCBW-FE | 56\% | 33\% | 5\% | 5\% | 1\% | 0\% | 142 |
| Unknown | 45\% | 45\% | 0\% | 9\% | 0\% | 0\% | 11 |
| Sample Average | 42\% | 42\% | 6\% | 9\% | 1\% | 0\% | 395 |

Table 61. Proportion of people who need other home modifications

|  | Does Not Need | Has One, And Doesn t Need Upgrade | Has One, But Needs Upgrade | Needs One | Don t <br> Know | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Unclear/ } \\ \text { Refused/ } \\ \text { No Response } \end{array}$ | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 78\% | 2\% | 0\% | 14\% | 6\% | 0\% | 217 |
| HCBW-FE | 83\% | 2\% | 0\% | 7\% | 7\% | 0\% | 134 |
| Unknown | 80\% | 0\% | 0\% | 10\% | 10\% | 0\% | 10 |
| Sample Average | 80\% | 2\% | 0\% | 11\% | 6\% | 0\% | 361 |

Table 62. Proportion of people who need a walker

|  | Does Not Need | Has One, And Doesn t Need Upgrade | Has One, But Needs Upgrade | Needs One | Don t <br> Know | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Unclear/ } \\ \text { Refused/ } \\ \text { No Response } \end{array}$ | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 33\% | 47\% | 19\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 240 |
| HCBW-FE | 30\% | 57\% | 6\% | 6\% | 0\% | 0\% | 142 |
| Unknown | 18\% | 55\% | 27\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 11 |
| Sample Average | 32\% | 51\% | 15\% | 3\% | 0\% | 0\% | 393 |

Table 63. Proportion of people who need a scooter

|  | Does Not Need | Has One, And Doesn t Need Upgrade | Has One, But Needs Upgrade | Needs One | Don t Know | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Unclear/ } \\ \text { Refused/ } \\ \text { No Response } \end{array}$ | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 62\% | 10\% | 5\% | 23\% | 0\% | 0\% | 238 |
| HCBW-FE | 77\% | 5\% | 3\% | 14\% | 1\% | 0\% | 142 |
| Unknown | 91\% | 9\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 11 |
| Sample Average | 68\% | 8\% | 4\% | 19\% | 1\% | 0\% | 391 |

Table 64. Proportion of people who need a cane

|  | Does Not Need | Has One, And Doesn t Need Upgrade | Has One, But Needs Upgrade | Needs One | Don t <br> Know | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Unclear/ } \\ \text { Refused/ } \\ \text { No Response } \end{array}$ | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 62\% | 29\% | 5\% | 4\% | 0\% | 0\% | 239 |
| HCBW-FE | 65\% | 27\% | 2\% | 5\% | 0\% | 1\% | 141 |
| Unknown | 82\% | 18\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 11 |
| Sample Average | 64\% | 28\% | 4\% | 4\% | 0\% | 0\% | 391 |

Table 65. Proportion of people who need a wheelchair

|  | Does Not Need | Has One, And Doesn t Need Upgrade | Has One, But Needs Upgrade | Needs One | Don t <br> Know | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Unclear/ } \\ \text { Refused/ } \\ \text { No Response } \end{array}$ | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 35\% | 34\% | 15\% | 15\% | 0\% | 0\% | 235 |
| HCBW-FE | 46\% | 38\% | 4\% | 11\% | 0\% | 1\% | 142 |
| Unknown | 55\% | 27\% | 18\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 11 |
| Sample Average | 40\% | 35\% | 11\% | 13\% | 0\% | 0\% | 388 |

Table 66. Proportion of people who need hearing aids

|  | Does Not Need | Has One, And Doesn t Need Upgrade | Has One, But Needs Upgrade | Needs One | Don t Know | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Unclear/ } \\ \text { Refused/ } \\ \text { No Response } \end{array}$ | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 72\% | 10\% | 4\% | 12\% | 3\% | 0\% | 239 |
| HCBW-FE | 70\% | 13\% | 1\% | 12\% | 3\% | 1\% | 142 |
| Unknown | 70\% | 20\% | 0\% | 10\% | 0\% | 0\% | 10 |
| Sample Average | 71\% | 11\% | 3\% | 12\% | 3\% | 0\% | 391 |

Table 67. Proportion of people who need glasses

|  | Does Not Need | Has One, And Doesn t Need Upgrade | Has One, But Needs Upgrade | Needs One | Don t Know | Unclear/ Refused/ No Response | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 23\% | 37\% | 31\% | 8\% | 0\% | 0\% | 237 |
| HCBW-FE | 22\% | 46\% | 21\% | 9\% | 1\% | 1\% | 142 |
| Unknown | 27\% | 45\% | 18\% | 9\% | 0\% | 0\% | 11 |
| Sample Average | 23\% | 41\% | 27\% | 9\% | 1\% | 0\% | 390 |

Table 68. Proportion of people who need a communication device

|  | Does Not Need | Has One, And Doesn t Need Upgrade | Has One, But Needs Upgrade | Needs One | Don t <br> Know | Unclear/ <br> Refused/ <br> No Response | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 95\% | 3\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 236 |
| HCBW-FE | 92\% | 2\% | 0\% | 2\% | 3\% | 1\% | 142 |
| Unknown | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 9 |
| Sample Average | 94\% | 3\% | 0\% | 2\% | 1\% | 0\% | 387 |

Table 69. Proportion of people who need other assistive devices

|  | Does Not Need | Has One, And Doesn t Need Upgrade | Has One, But Needs Upgrade | Needs One | Don t <br> Know | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Unclear/ } \\ \text { Refused/ } \\ \text { No Response } \end{array}$ | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 78\% | 3\% | 0\% | 13\% | 6\% | 0\% | 214 |
| HCBW-FE | 86\% | 1\% | 0\% | 4\% | 7\% | 1\% | 135 |
| Unknown | 78\% | 0\% | 0\% | 11\% | 11\% | 0\% | 9 |
| Sample Average | 81\% | 3\% | 0\% | 9\% | 6\% | 0\% | 358 |

## Safety-un-collapsed

Table 70. Proportion of people who feel safe at home

|  | Rarely or Never | Always or Most of the Time | Don t Know | Unclear/Refused/ No Response | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 7\% | 93\% | 1\% | 0\% | 193 |
| HCBW-FE | 3\% | 97\% | 0\% | 0\% | 102 |
| Unknown | 0\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 8 |
| Sample Average | 5\% | 94\% | 0\% | 0\% | 303 |

Table 71. Proportion of people who feel safe around their paid support staff

|  | No, Not Always or Not All Paid Support Workers | Yes, All Paid Support Workers, Always | Don t Know | Unclear/Refused/ No Response | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 5\% | 94\% | 1\% | 0\% | 161 |
| HCBW-FE | 9\% | 89\% | 1\% | 0\% | 74 |
| Unknown | 0\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 6 |
| Sample Average | 6\% | 93\% | 1\% | 0\% | 241 |

Table 72. Proportion of people who are ever worried for the security of their personal belongings

|  | No, Never | Yes, At Least <br> Sometimes | Don t Know | Unclear/Refused/ <br> No Response | N |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCBW-PD | $81 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $0 \%$ |  |  |
| HCBW-FE | $84 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $0 \%$ | 193 |  |
| Unknown | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | 10 |  |
| Sample Average | $82 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | 8 |

Table 73. Proportion of people whose money was taken or used without their permission in the last 12 months

|  | No | Yes | Don t Know | Unclear/Refused/ No Response | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 91\% | 7\% | 2\% | 0\% | 192 |
| HCBW-FE | 90\% | 5\% | 4\% | 1\% | 102 |
| Unknown | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 8 |
| Sample Average | 91\% | 6\% | 3\% | 0\% | 302 |

Table 74. Proportion of people who have concerns about falling or being unstable (or about whom there are concerns)

|  | No | Sometimes | Yes, Often | Don t Know | Unclear/Refused/ No Response | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 40\% | 11\% | 48\% | 1\% | 0\% | 238 |
| HCBW-FE | 36\% | 22\% | 42\% | 1\% | 0\% | 142 |
| Unknown | 73\% | 0\% | 27\% | 0\% | 0\% | 11 |
| Sample Average | 40\% | 15\% | 45\% | 1\% | 0\% | 391 |

Table 75. Proportion of people with whom somebody talked to or worked with to reduce risk of falling or being unstable (if there are such concerns)

|  | No | Yes | Don t Know | Unclear/Refused/ <br> No Response |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCBW-PD | $19 \%$ | $79 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ |  |
| HCBW-FE | $22 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $3 \%$ | 139 |  |
| Unknown | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | 0 | 9 |
| Sample Average | $20 \%$ | $78 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | 0 |

Table 76. Proportion of people who are able to get to safety quickly in case of an emergency like a fire or a natural disaster

|  | No | Yes | Don t Know | Unclear/Refused/ <br> No Response |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCBW-PD |  |  | N |  |  |
| HCBW-FE | $30 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $0 \%$ |  |
| Unknown | $28 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $0 \%$ |  |
| Sample Average | $36 \%$ | $64 \%$ | $0 \%$ | 141 |  |

## Health Care—un-collapsed

Table 77. Proportion of people who have gone to the emergency room for any reason in the past year

|  | No | Yes | Don t Know | Unclear/Refused/No Response |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCBW-PD | $58 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $0 \%$ |  |
| HCBW-FE | $59 \%$ | $36 \%$ | 240 |  |  |
| Unknown | $91 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $0 \%$ |  |  |
| Sample Average | $59 \%$ | $38 \%$ | 142 |  |  |

Table 78. Proportion of people whose one or more visit to the ER in the past year was due to falling or losing balance (if went to ER in past year)

|  | No | Yes | Don t Know | Unclear/Refused/No Response | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 58\% | 40\% | 2\% | 0\% | 98 |
| HCBW-FE | 61\% | 31\% | 8\% | 0\% | 51 |
| Unknown | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1 |
| Sample Average | 59\% | 37\% | 4\% | 0\% | 150 |

Table 79. Proportion of people whose one or more visit to the ER in the past year was due to tooth or mouth pain (if went to ER in the past year)

|  | No | Yes | Don t Know | Unclear/Refused/No Response | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 98\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 99 |
| HCBW-FE | 94\% | 0\% | 6\% | 0\% | 51 |
| Unknown | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1 |
| Sample Average | 97\% | 0\% | 3\% | 0\% | 151 |

Table 80. Proportion of people who can get an appointment to see their primary care doctor when they need to

|  | No, Rarely | Usually | Yes, Always | Does Not Have a Primary Care Doctor | Don t <br> Know | Unclear/Refused/ No Response | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 9\% | 12\% | 78\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 240 |
| HCBW-FE | 9\% | 15\% | 73\% | 1\% | 2\% | 0\% | 141 |
| Unknown | 9\% | 9\% | 73\% | 0\% | 9\% | 0\% | 11 |
| Sample Average | 9\% | 13\% | 76\% | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% | 392 |

Table 81. Proportion of people who have talked to someone about feeling sad and depressed during the past 12 months (if feeling sad and depressed)

|  | No | Yes | Don t Know | Unclear/Refused/ <br> No Response |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCBW-PD | $40 \%$ |  |  | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| HCBW-FE | $75 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $0 \%$ | 97 |  |
| Unknown | $20 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $0 \%$ | 44 |  |
| Sample Average | $50 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |  |

Table 82. Proportion of people who have had a physical exam or wellness visit in the past year

|  | No | Yes | N/A (e.g. Not Recommended) | Don t Know | Unclear/Refused/ No Response | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 12\% | 87\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | 239 |
| HCBW-FE | 23\% | 74\% | 0\% | 3\% | 1\% | 142 |
| Unknown | 0\% | 91\% | 9\% | 0\% | 0\% | 11 |
| Sample Average | 15\% | 82\% | 1\% | 2\% | 0\% | 392 |

Table 83. Proportion of people who have had a hearing exam in the past year

|  | No | Yes | N/A (e.g. Not <br> Recommended) | Don t Know | Unclear/Refused/ <br> No Response |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCBW-PD | $70 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $0 \%$ |  |
| HCBW-FE | $66 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $1 \%$ |  |
| Unknown | $45 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $0 \%$ | 142 |  |  |
| Sample Average | $68 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |  |  |

Table 84. Proportion of people who have had a vision exam in the past year

|  | No | Yes | N/A (e.g. Not <br> Recommended) | Don t Know | Unclear/Refused/ <br> No Response | N |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCBW-PD | $37 \%$ | $63 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | 239 |
| HCBW-FE | $52 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $1 \%$ |  |
| Unknown | $18 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | 142 |  |
| Sample Average | $42 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $1 \%$ | 11 |  |

Table 85. Proportion of people who have had a flu shot in the past year

|  | No | Yes | N/A (e.g. Not <br> Recommended) | Don t Know | Unclear/Refused/ <br> No Response |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCBW-PD | $39 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $0 \%$ |  |
| HCBW-FE | $31 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $7 \%$ | 240 |  |
| Unknown | $64 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $1 \%$ |  |
| Sample Average | $37 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $4 \%$ |  |  |

Table 86. Proportion of people who have had a routine dental visit in the past year

|  | No | Yes | N/A (e.g. Not <br> Recommended) | Don t Know | Unclear/Refused/ <br> No Response |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCBW-PD | $68 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $0 \%$ |  |
| HCBW-FE | $70 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $0 \%$ |  |
| Unknown | $73 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | 142 |  |
| Sample Average | $69 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $0 \%$ |  |  |

Table 87. Proportion of people who have had a cholesterol screening in the past five years

|  | No | Yes | N/A (e.g. Not Recommended) | Don t Know | Unclear/Refused/ No Response | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 11\% | 86\% | 0\% | 3\% | 0\% | 239 |
| HCBW-FE | 11\% | 78\% | 0\% | 11\% | 0\% | 142 |
| Unknown | 0\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 11 |
| Sample Average | 11\% | 83\% | 0\% | 6\% | 0\% | 392 |

## Wellness-un-collapsed

Table 88. Proportion of people who describe their overall health as poor, fair, good, very good, or excellent

|  | Poor | Fair | Good | Very Good | Excellent | Don t Know | Unclear/Refused/ No Response | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 18\% | 26\% | 31\% | 12\% | 11\% | 2\% | 0\% | 239 |
| HCBW-FE | 12\% | 28\% | 29\% | 19\% | 11\% | 1\% | 1\% | 141 |
| Unknown | 18\% | 18\% | 27\% | 27\% | 9\% | 0\% | 0\% | 11 |
| Sample Average | 16\% | 27\% | 30\% | 15\% | 11\% | 1\% | 0\% | 391 |

Table 89. Proportion of people who reported their health has gotten much better, somewhat better, stayed about the same, got somewhat worse, or got much worse compared to 12 months ago

|  | Much Worse | Somewhat Worse | About the Same | Somewhat Better | Much <br> Better | Don t Know | Unclear/Refused/ No Response | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 10\% | 28\% | 40\% | 15\% | 7\% | 0\% | 0\% | 241 |
| HCBW-FE | 2\% | 31\% | 49\% | 9\% | 6\% | 2\% | 1\% | 142 |
| Unknown | 9\% | 0\% | 64\% | 18\% | 9\% | 0\% | 0\% | 11 |
| Sample Average | 7\% | 28\% | 44\% | 13\% | 7\% | 1\% | 0\% | 394 |

Table 90. Proportion of people who reported they forget things more often than before during the past 12 months

|  | No | Yes | Don t Know | Unclear/Refused/ <br> No Response |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCBW-PD | $44 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $0 \%$ |  |
| HCBW-FE | $36 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $6 \%$ | 240 |  |
| Unknown | $45 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $1 \%$ |  |
| Sample Average | $41 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $3 \%$ | 140 |  |

Table 91. Proportion of people who have discussed (or somebody else discussed) their forgetting things with a doctor or a nurse (if forget things more often during the past 12 months)

|  | No | Yes | Don $t$ Know | Unclear/Refused/ <br> No Response |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCBW-PD |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCBW-FE | $30 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | 132 |
| Unknown | $43 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $1 \%$ |  |
| Sample Average | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | 80 |  |

Table 92. Proportion of people who describe themselves as having a chronic psychiatric or mental health diagnosis

|  | No | Yes | Don t Know | Unclear/Refused/ <br> No Response |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCBW-PD |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCBW-FE | $66 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $0 \%$ |  |
| Unknown | $78 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $1 \%$ |  |
| Sample Average | $73 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $0 \%$ | 142 |  |

Table 93. Frequency with which people who feel sad or depressed

|  | Never or Almost Never | Not Often | Sometimes | Often | Don t Know | Unclear/Refused/ No Response | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 21\% | 28\% | 34\% | 17\% | 1\% | 0\% | 190 |
| HCBW-FE | 23\% | 31\% | 32\% | 11\% | 1\% | 2\% | 102 |
| Unknown | 11\% | 33\% | 22\% | 33\% | 0\% | 0\% | 9 |
| Sample Average | 21\% | 30\% | 33\% | 15\% | 1\% | 1\% | 301 |

Table 94. Proportion of people with chronic conditions

|  | No | Yes | Don t Know | Unclear/Refused/No Response | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 8\% | 91\% | 1\% | 0\% | 239 |
| HCBW-FE | 11\% | 88\% | 0\% | 1\% | 142 |
| Unknown | 27\% | 73\% | 0\% | 0\% | 11 |
| Sample Average | 10\% | 90\% | 1\% | 0\% | 392 |

Table 95. Proportion of people who describe their hearing as poor, fair and very good (taking into account hearing aids, if any)

|  | Poor | Fair | Very Good | Don t Know | Unclear/Refused/No Response | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 9\% | 38\% | 52\% | 0\% | 0\% | 239 |
| HCBW-FE | 15\% | 36\% | 46\% | 2\% | 1\% | 141 |
| Unknown | 9\% | 64\% | 27\% | 0\% | 0\% | 11 |
| Sample Average | 11\% | 38\% | 49\% | 1\% | 1\% | 391 |

Table 96. Proportion of people who describe their vision as poor, fair and very good (taking into account glasses or contacts, if any)

|  | Poor | Fair | Very Good | Don t Know | Unclear/Refused/No Response | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 17\% | 52\% | 30\% | 1\% | 0\% | 241 |
| HCBW-FE | 19\% | 47\% | 31\% | 2\% | 1\% | 142 |
| Unknown | 9\% | 36\% | 55\% | 0\% | 0\% | 11 |
| Sample Average | 17\% | 50\% | 31\% | 2\% | 1\% | 394 |

Table 97. Proportion of people who describe themselves as having a physical disability

|  | No | Yes | Don t Know | Unclear/Refused/No Response |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCBW-PD | $16 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $0 \%$ |  |  |
| HCBW-FE | $28 \%$ | $2 \%$ | 189 |  |  |
| Unknown | $40 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $5 \%$ |  |  |
| Sample Average | $21 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $0 \%$ | 100 |  |

## Medications-un-collapsed

Table 98. Proportion of people who take medications that help them feel less sad or depressed

|  | No | Yes | Don t Know | Unclear/Refused/No Response | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 64\% | 34\% | 2\% | 0\% | 239 |
| HCBW-FE | 67\% | 23\% | 9\% | 1\% | 141 |
| Unknown | 82\% | 18\% | 0\% | 0\% | 11 |
| Sample Average | 66\% | 30\% | 4\% | 1\% | 391 |

Table 99. Proportion of people who understand why they take their prescription medications and what they are for (if take or are supposed to take prescription medications)

|  | No | In between, Or Some Medications | Yes | Does Not Take Prescription Medications | Don t Know | Unclear/ Refused/ No Response | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 5\% | 4\% | 88\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 230 |
| HCBW-FE | 6\% | 12\% | 76\% | 4\% | 2\% | 1\% | 127 |
| Unknown | 9\% | 9\% | 73\% | 9\% | 0\% | 0\% | 11 |
| Sample Average | 6\% | 7\% | 83\% | 3\% | 1\% | 0\% | 368 |

## Rights and Respect—un-collapsed

Table 100. Proportion of people who feel that their paid support staff treat them with respect

|  | No, Never Or Rarely | Some, Or Usually | Yes, All Paid Support Workers, Always Or Almost Always | Don t Know | Unclear/ <br> Refused/ <br> No Response | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 1\% | 11\% | 88\% | 1\% | 0\% | 159 |
| HCBW-FE | 3\% | 7\% | 90\% | 0\% | 0\% | 73 |
| Unknown | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 6 |
| Sample Average | 1\% | 9\% | 89\% | 0\% | 0\% | 238 |

Table 101. Proportion of people who report that others ask permission before entering their home/room (if in group setting)

|  | Sometimes, Rarely, Or Never | Usually, But Not Always | Yes, Always | Don t Know | Unclear/Refused/ <br> No Response | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 10\% | 10\% | 80\% | 0\% | 0\% | 60 |
| HCBW-FE | 16\% | 10\% | 67\% | 0\% | 6\% | 49 |
| Unknown | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 3 |
| Sample Average | 13\% | 10\% | 75\% | 0\% | 3\% | 112 |

Table 102. Proportion of people who are able to lock the doors to their room if they want to (if in group setting)

|  | No | Yes | Don t Know | Unclear/Refused/ <br> No Response | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 19\% | 70\% | 11\% | 0\% | 54 |
| HCBW-FE | 31\% | 55\% | 8\% | 6\% | 49 |
| Unknown | 67\% | 33\% | 0\% | 0\% | 3 |
| Sample Average | 25\% | 62\% | 9\% | 3\% | 106 |

Table 103. Proportion of people who have enough privacy in their home (if in group setting)

|  | Sometimes, Rarely, Or Never | Usually, But Not <br> Always | Yes, Always | Don t Know | Unclear/Refused/ No Response | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 5\% | 9\% | 84\% | 2\% | 0\% | 56 |
| HCBW-FE | 6\% | 4\% | 82\% | 2\% | 6\% | 49 |
| Unknown | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 3 |
| Sample Average | 6\% | 6\% | 83\% | 2\% | 3\% | 108 |

Table 104. Proportion of people who are able to have visitors come at any time (if in group setting)

|  | No, Visitors Allowed Only Certain Times | Yes, Visitors Can Come Any Time | Don t Know | Unclear/Refused/ <br> No Response | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 4\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 53 |
| HCBW-FE | 16\% | 75\% | 2\% | 7\% | 44 |
| Unknown | 0\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 3 |
| Sample Average | 9\% | 87\% | 1\% | 3\% | 100 |

Table 105. Proportion of people who can use the phone privately whenever they want to (if in group setting)

|  | No, Never Or Rarely Can Use Privately Or There Are Restrictions | Can Usually Use Privately | Yes, Can Use Privately Anytime, Either Independently Or With Assistance | Don t Know |  | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 6\% | 4\% | 87\% | 4\% | 0\% | 54 |
| HCBW-FE | 10\% | 0\% | 80\% | 0\% | 10\% | 40 |
| Unknown | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 3 |
| Sample Average | 7\% | 2\% | 85\% | 2\% | 4\% | 97 |

Table 106. Proportion of people who have access to food at all times of the day (if in group setting)

|  | No | Yes | N/A Person Unable to Eat Due to Medical Condition | Don t Know | Unclear/Refused/ No Response | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 29\% | 67\% | 2\% | 0\% | 2\% | 55 |
| HCBW-FE | 20\% | 67\% | 0\% | 10\% | 2\% | 49 |
| Unknown | 0\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 3 |
| Sample Average | 24\% | 68\% | 1\% | 5\% | 2\% | 107 |

Table 107. Proportion of people whose mail or email is read without asking them first (if in group setting)

|  | No, People Never Read Mail Or Email Without Permission | Yes, People Read Mail Or <br> Email Without Permission | Don t Know | Unclear/Refused/ No Response | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 80\% | 14\% | 6\% | 0\% | 50 |
| HCBW-FE | 75\% | 3\% | 18\% | 5\% | 40 |
| Unknown | 67\% | 33\% | 0\% | 0\% | 3 |
| Sample Average | 77\% | 10\% | 11\% | 2\% | 93 |

## Self-Direction of Care—un-collapsed

Table 108. Proportion of people who are participating in a self-directed supports option (as defined by their State—data for this indicator come directly from State administrative records)

|  | No | Yes | Don t Know | N |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCBW-PD | $91 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $0 \%$ | 243 |
| HCBW-FE | $99 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $0 \%$ | 142 |
| Unknown | $91 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $0 \%$ | 11 |
| Sample Average | $94 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $0 \%$ | 396 |

Table 109. Proportion of people who can choose or change what kind of services they get

|  | No | Sometimes, Or Some Services | Yes, All Services | Don t Know | Unclear/Refused/ No Response | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 12\% | 15\% | 61\% | 12\% | 0\% | 231 |
| HCBW-FE | 10\% | 8\% | 65\% | 16\% | 1\% | 136 |
| Unknown | 22\% | 0\% | 78\% | 0\% | 0\% | 9 |
| Sample Average | 11\% | 12\% | 63\% | 13\% | 0\% | 376 |

Table 110. Proportion of people who can choose or change how often and when they get services

|  | No | Sometimes, Or Some Services | Yes, All Services | Don t Know | Unclear/Refused/ No Response | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 17\% | 14\% | 57\% | 12\% | 1\% | 236 |
| HCBW-FE | 10\% | 9\% | 61\% | 18\% | 2\% | 137 |
| Unknown | 0\% | 0\% | 78\% | 22\% | 0\% | 9 |
| Sample Average | 14\% | 12\% | 59\% | 14\% | 1\% | 382 |

Table 111. Proportion of people who can change their paid support staff

|  | No | Sometimes, Or Some Services | Yes, All Services | Don t Know | Unclear/Refused/ No Response | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 11\% | 8\% | 75\% | 6\% | 0\% | 174 |
| HCBW-FE | 9\% | 6\% | 63\% | 20\% | 2\% | 87 |
| Unknown | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 6 |
| Sample Average | 10\% | 7\% | 72\% | 10\% | 1\% | 267 |

## Work—un-collapsed

Table 112. Proportion of people who have a paying job in the community, either full-time or part-time

|  | No | Yes | Don t Know | Unclear/Refused/ <br> No Response | N |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCBW-PD | $99 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $0 \%$ |  |  |
| HCBW-FE | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |  |
| Unknown | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | 142 |  |
| Sample Average | $99 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | 11 |

Table 113. Proportion of people who would like a job (if not currently employed)

|  | No | Maybe, Not Sure | Yes | Unclear/Refused/ <br> No Response |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCBW-PD | $79 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $0 \%$ |  |  |
| HCBW-FE | $85 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $14 \%$ | 189 |  |
| Unknown | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $6 \%$ |  |  |
| Sample Average | $82 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $0 \%$ | 102 |  |

Table 114. Proportion of people who reported that someone has talked to them about job options (if wanted a job)

|  | No | Yes | Don t Know | Unclear/Refused/ No Response | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 77\% | 21\% | 0\% | 2\% | 43 |
| HCBW-FE | 92\% | 8\% | 0\% | 0\% | 13 |
| Unknown | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Sample Average | 80\% | 18\% | 0\% | 2\% | 56 |

Table 115. Proportion of people who do volunteer work

|  | No | Yes | Don t Know | Unclear/Refused/ No Response | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 93\% | 6\% | 1\% | 0\% | 241 |
| HCBW-FE | 98\% | 1\% | 0\% | 1\% | 142 |
| Unknown | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 11 |
| Sample Average | 95\% | 4\% | 1\% | 0\% | 394 |

Table 116. Proportion of people who would like to do volunteer work (if not currently volunteering)

|  | No | Maybe, Not Sure | Yes | Unclear/Refused/ No Response | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 79\% | 11\% | 10\% | 1\% | 177 |
| HCBW-FE | 87\% | 4\% | 8\% | 1\% | 99 |
| Unknown | 88\% | 13\% | 0\% | 0\% | 8 |
| Sample Average | 82\% | 8\% | 9\% | 1\% | 284 |

## Everyday Living-un-collapsed

Table 117. Proportion of people who generally need a lot or some assistance with everyday activities

|  | None | Some | A Lot | Don t Know | Unclear/Refused/ No Response | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 4\% | 30\% | 65\% | 0\% | 0\% | 239 |
| HCBW-FE | 4\% | 47\% | 48\% | 1\% | 0\% | 142 |
| Unknown | 0\% | 45\% | 55\% | 0\% | 0\% | 11 |
| Sample Average | 4\% | 37\% | 58\% | 1\% | 0\% | 392 |

Table 118. Proportion of people who always get enough assistance with everyday activities when they need it (if need any assistance)

|  | No | Yes | Don t Know | Unclear/Refused/ No Response | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 25\% | 75\% | 0\% | 0\% | 226 |
| HCBW-FE | 16\% | 82\% | 1\% | 1\% | 134 |
| Unknown | 18\% | 82\% | 0\% | 0\% | 11 |
| Sample Average | 22\% | 78\% | 1\% | 0\% | 371 |

Table 119. Proportion of people who generally need a lot or some assistance for self-care

|  | None | Some | A Lot | Don t Know | Unclear/Refused/ No Response | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 6\% | 32\% | 62\% | 0\% | 0\% | 239 |
| HCBW-FE | 14\% | 39\% | 46\% | 1\% | 0\% | 140 |
| Unknown | 10\% | 60\% | 30\% | 0\% | 0\% | 10 |
| Sample Average | 9\% | 35\% | 55\% | 0\% | 0\% | 389 |

Table 120. Proportion of people who always get enough assistance with self-care when they need it

|  | No | Yes | Don t Know | Unclear/Refused/ No Response | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 27\% | 73\% | 0\% | 0\% | 226 |
| HCBW-FE | 15\% | 84\% | 1\% | 0\% | 119 |
| Unknown | 20\% | 80\% | 0\% | 0\% | 10 |
| Sample Average | 23\% | 77\% | 0\% | 0\% | 355 |

Table 121. Proportion of people who have access to healthy foods like fruits and vegetables when they want them

|  | No, Never | Sometimes | Yes, Often | N/A Person Unable to Eat Due to Medical Condition | Don t Know | Unclear/ <br> Refused/ <br> No Response | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 8\% | 10\% | 81\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | 238 |
| HCBW-FE | 7\% | 11\% | 80\% | 0\% | 1\% | 1\% | 139 |
| Unknown | 0\% | 9\% | 91\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 11 |
| Sample Average | 7\% | 10\% | 81\% | 0\% | 1\% | 1\% | 388 |

## Affordability—un-collapsed

Table 122. Proportion of people who ever have to skip a meal due to financial worries

|  | No, Never | Sometimes | Yes, Often | N/A Person Unable to Eat Due to Medical Condition | Don t Know | Unclear/ <br> Refused/ <br> No Response | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 80\% | 13\% | 6\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 239 |
| HCBW-FE | 89\% | 6\% | 4\% | 0\% | 1\% | 1\% | 142 |
| Unknown | 91\% | 9\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 11 |
| Sample Average | 84\% | 10\% | 5\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 392 |

## Planning for the Future- un-collapsed

Table 123. Proportion of people who want help planning for their future need for services

|  | No | Yes | Don t Know | Unclear/ Refused/ No Response | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 42\% | 56\% | 2\% | 0\% | 188 |
| HCBW-FE | 59\% | 33\% | 8\% | 0\% | 101 |
| Unknown | 50\% | 50\% | 0\% | 0\% | 8 |
| Sample Average | 48\% | 48\% | 4\% | 0\% | 297 |

## Control-un-collapsed

Table 124. Proportion of people who feel in control of their life

|  | No | In between | Yes | Don t Know | Unclear/Refused/ No Response | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 15\% | 31\% | 54\% | 1\% | 0\% | 192 |
| HCBW-FE | 15\% | 21\% | 62\% | 1\% | 2\% | 102 |
| Unknown | 25\% | 25\% | 50\% | 0\% | 0\% | 8 |
| Sample Average | 15\% | 27\% | 56\% | 1\% | 1\% | 302 |

Table 125. Ranking of how important people reported health was to them right now (out of health, safety, being independent, being engaged with community and friends, and maintaining assets/avoiding poverty)

|  | 1 Health Most Important | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 Health Least Important | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 71\% | 19\% | 6\% | 2\% | 2\% | 125 |
| HCBW-FE | 64\% | 26\% | 6\% | 1\% | 2\% | 84 |
| Unknown | 67\% | 33\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 6 |
| Sample Average | 68\% | 22\% | 6\% | 1\% | 2\% | 215 |

Table 126. Ranking of how important people reported safety was to them right now (out of health, safety, being independent, being engaged with community and friends, and maintaining assets/avoiding poverty)

|  | 1 Safety Most Important | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 Safety Least Important | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 6\% | 34\% | 30\% | 21\% | 9\% | 125 |
| HCBW-FE | 7\% | 35\% | 30\% | 20\% | 9\% | 81 |
| Unknown | 0\% | 17\% | 83\% | 0\% | 0\% | 6 |
| Sample Average | 6\% | 34\% | 32\% | 20\% | 8\% | 212 |

Table 127. Ranking of how important people reported being independent was to them right now (out of health, safety, being independent, being engaged with community and friends, and maintaining assets/avoiding poverty)

|  | 1 Being Independent Most Important | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 Being Independent Least Important | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 17\% | 28\% | 38\% | 15\% | 2\% | 125 |
| HCBW-FE | 16\% | 30\% | 37\% | 15\% | 2\% | 81 |
| Unknown | 0\% | 67\% | 0\% | 33\% | 0\% | 6 |
| Sample Average | 16\% | 30\% | 36\% | 16\% | 2\% | 212 |

Table 128. Ranking of how important people reported being engaged with community and friends was to them right now (out of health, safety, being independent, being engaged with community and friends, and maintaining assets/avoiding poverty)

|  | 1 Engaged with Community Most Important | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 Engaged with Community Least Important | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 2\% | 9\% | 14\% | 31\% | 44\% | 124 |
| HCBW-FE | 11\% | 9\% | 14\% | 27\% | 39\% | 79 |
| Unknown | 33\% | 17\% | 0\% | 33\% | 17\% | 6 |
| Sample Average | 7\% | 9\% | 13\% | 29\% | 41\% | 209 |

Table 129. Ranking of how important people reported maintaining assets/avoiding poverty was to them right now (out of health, safety, being independent, being engaged with community and friends, and maintaining assets/avoiding poverty)

|  | 1 Maintaining Assets/Avoiding Poverty Most Important | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 Maintaining Assets/ Avoiding Poverty Least Important | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCBW-PD | 7\% | 9\% | 11\% | 29\% | 43\% | 124 |
| HCBW-FE | 5\% | 5\% | 10\% | 35\% | 45\% | 78 |
| Unknown | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 17\% | 83\% | 6 |
| Sample Average | 6\% | 7\% | 11\% | 31\% | 45\% | 208 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ NASUAD is the membership organization for state Aging, Disability, and Medicaid directors.
    ${ }^{2}$ Colorado, Delaware, Georgia, Indiana, Kansas, Maine, Minnesota, Mississippi, New Jersey, North Carolina, Ohio, Tennessee, and Texas.

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ Program was missing for 11 cases submitted for analysis

[^2]:    ${ }^{8}$ Data shown in Appendix B only

[^3]:    ${ }^{9}$ New variable

[^4]:    ${ }^{12}$ Question restructured

[^5]:    ${ }^{13}$ New variable

[^6]:    ${ }^{14}$ New variable

[^7]:    ${ }^{17}$ In 2015-2016, this question was asked of everyone; now in group setting only

[^8]:    ${ }^{18}$ New variable

[^9]:    * Very small number of responses

[^10]:    ${ }^{23}$ Categories created using zip codes and corresponding RUCA codes: Metropolitan - Metropolitan area core, high commuting low commuting; Micropolitan - Micropolitan area core, high commuting, low commuting; Small town - Small town core, high commuting, low commuting; Rural

