## **Appendix E:** Funding Formula

Nevada's funding formula was first adopted in 1984, revised in 1990 and was again revised in 2002. The funding formula is utilized to allocate Title III-B and C monies. Because the State of Nevada is designated as a single planning and service area, the formula was designed to help ensure equitable distribution on a statewide basis, so funding was developed on a county basis.

Prior formulas took multiple factors into consideration for each of Nevada's 17 counties based on the latest census:

- Population 60 years of age or older
- Population 80 years of age or older
- Population 60 years of age or older living at or below the poverty level
- Population minorities 60 years of age or older living at or below the poverty level

The formula was devised to distribute monies equitably and to target counties with the greatest need. Because the majority of the targeted senior population is in Clark County and Washoe County, the formula allocated more funding for those counties. In prior years, 5 percent of Title III funds were set aside for rural programs prior to applying the funding formula to offset this inequity.

However, it is no longer necessary to set aside that amount due to state appropriations for the rural programs – the "Hold Harmless" funding listed in the table below. The Division no longer applies the previous methodology for multiple weighted factors used with prior funding formulas. With the existing formula, rural programs are guaranteed a certain level of funding. All rural counties receive funding that is not less than the amount of funding they received in the fiscal year associated with the last census.

That said, Resource Development staff continue to pay attention to the county risk factors, in the table on the following page, and use them to support funding recommendations to the ADSD Administrator. For example, considerations include service capacity and the social, economic, and isolation needs are considered. In addition and in keeping with the Older American Act, special consideration for funding are given for applicants evidencing service priority to low-income, frail individuals, age 60 or older, in the following categories:

- Individuals in a minority group;
- Individuals with limited English proficiency;
- Individuals at risk for institutional placement;
- Individuals with the greatest economic or social need and/or disabilities; and
- Individuals living in a rural area.

Additional considerations are provided to programs that agree to prioritize services to clients referred by ADSD who are at risk of institutional placement or have been a victim of elder abuse.

Federal Funding Amounts										
	FFY12	FFY13	FFY14	FFY15						
Title IIIB (w/State Match)	2,969,582	2,545,198	2,545,410	2,545,199						
Title IIIC1 (w/State Match)	1,670,743	1,340,973	1,643,678	1,254,851						
Title IIIC2 (w/State Match)	2,762,106	2,789,352	2,905,047	3,333,913						
Title IIID	151,173	142,417	142,423	142,412						
Title IIIE (w/State Match)	1,461,229	1,419,945	1,455,489	1,490,616						
NSIP	953,138	918,420	1,053,945	1,167,919						
State (Hold Harmless)	666,997	666,997	666,997	666,997						
TOTAL	18,369,530	17,052,752	18,190,437	18,468,759						

	Risk Factors By County									
	U.S. Census 2014 Estimates									
	Nevada Counties	2014 Total Population	Population 60 and Percent	Minority Population %						
	Rural to Urban	Total Pop.	Pop. 60+	% Pop.	% of Pop.					
Most Rural	Esmeralda	822	282	34.3%	10.1%					
	Eureka	2,018	443	22.0%	8.0%					
	Storey	3,912	1,521	38.9%	8.2%					
	Mineral	4,500	1,483	33.0%	28.4%					
	Lincoln	5,184	1,424	27.5%	8.1%					
	Lander	6,009	1,156	19.2%	9.6%					
	Pershing	6,698	1,314	19.6%	12.6%					
	White Pine	10,034	2,172	21.6%	13.2%					
	Humboldt	17,279	2,896	16.8%	10.0%					
	Churchill	23,989	5,866	24.5%	14.8%					
	Nye	42,282	15,553	36.8%	9.9%					
	Douglas	47,536	15,781	33.2%	8.0%					
	Lyon	51,789	14,048	27.1%	9.9%					
	Elko	52,766	7,165	13.6%	11.4%					
	Carson City	54,522	14,221	26.1%	10.5%					
	Washoe	440,078	92,010	20.9%	14.7%					
Most Urban	Clark	2,069,681	339,390	16.4%	27.8%					
NEVADA		2,839,099	565,952	19.9%	23.8%					

			U.S.	Census 201	Risk Fact 3 American Commun			ear Estimate	s		
Nevada Counties	Population 80 and Older and Percent of Total Population		Population 60 and Older with Disabilities			Population 60 and Older. 2nd Column is % of Pop. 60+ below Poverty Status		Population Livi	n 60 and Older, ng Alone All Households	Percent of 60+ Population "Other than English Spoken at Home" and "Speak English less than 'very well'"	
Nevada Counties	Pop 80+	% of Total Population	Total Pop 60+			Below Poverty	% Income Below Poverty	60+ and Living Alone	Percent 60+ and Living Alone	60+ "Other than English Spoken at Home"	60+ "Speak English less than 'very well'"
Carson City (15)	2,741	0.10%	9,574	3,402	35.5%	618	6.5%	2,872	30.0%	7.2%	3.0%
Churchill (10)	958	0.04%	3,955	1,764	44.6%	310	7.8%	898	22.7%		
Clark (17)	49,423	1.81%	234,741	80,311	34.2%	19,755	8.4%	61,513	22.4%	22.3%	12.0%
Douglas (12)	2,305	0.08%	10,153	3,357	33.1%	601	5.9%	2,026	20.0%	6.9%	2.4%
Elko (14)	800	0.03%	4,334	1,747	40.3%	220	5.1%	1,049	24.2%		
Esmeralda (1)	44	0.00%	245	66	26.9%	40	16.3%	86	35.1%		
Eureka (2)	36	0.00%	242	53	21.9%	24	9.9%	70	28.9%		
Humboldt (9)	370	0.01%	1,613	679	42.1%	155	9.6%	374	23.2%		
Lander (6)	181	0.01%	750	286	38.1%	61	8.1%	168	22.4%		
Lincoln (5)	90	0.00%	846	212	25.1%	108	12.8%	248	29.3%		
Lyon (13)	1,963	0.07%	8,814	3,465	39.3%	618	7.0%	1,605	18.2%	7.8%	3.3%
Mineral (4)	315	0.01%	1,091	599	54.9%	121	11.1%	424	38.9%		
Nye (11)	1,908	0.07%	10,965	4,474	40.8%	905	8.3%	2,199	20.1%	6.5%	1.8%
Pershing (7)	176	0.01%	887	337	38.0%	60	6.8%	178	20.1%		
Storey (3)	100	0.00%	903	294	32.6%	51	5.6%	175	19.4%		
Washoe (16)	12,339	0.45%	54,442	17,415	32.0%	4,131	7.6%	18,141	28.2%	13.3%	7.2%
White Pine (8)	481	0.02%	1,507	668	44.3%	175	11.6%	371	24.6%		
NEVADA	74,230	2.6%	400,514	146,988	36.7%	32,869	8.3%	94,995	40.4%	20.0%	10.8%

U.S. Census, 2014 American Community Survey 1 - Year Estimates

		рокеп tess S. Census 20			U.S. Census 2013 American Community Survey (2009-2013) 5-Year Estimates								
	Population and Rural	Population 60 and Older and Percent of Total Population		Minority Population Percentage				Population 65 and Older. Second Column is % of		Population 65 and Older, Living Alone Percent of All		Percent of 60+ Population "Other than English Spoken at Home" and "Speak English less than 'very well'"	
Nevada Counties (Rural Rank)	2014 Population	Pop 60+		% of Total Population	Total Pop 65+	65+ W/ Disabilities	Percentage Pop. 65+ w/ Disabilities	Income Below Poverty	% Income Below Poverty	65+ and Living Alone	Percent 65+ and Living Alone	60+ "Other than English Spoken at Home"	60+ "Speak English less than 'very well'"
Carson City (15)	54,522	14,221	26.1%	10.5%	9,574	3,402	35.5%	618	6.5%	2,872	30.0%	7.2%	3.0%
Churchill (10)	23,989	5,866	24.5%	14.8%	3,955	1,764	44.6%	310	7.8%	898	22.7%		
Clark (17)	2,069,681	339,390	16.4%	27.8%	234,741	80,311	34.2%	19,755	8.4%	61,513	22.4%	22.3%	12.0%
Douglas (12)	47,536	15,781	33.2%	8.0%	10,153	3,357	33.1%	601	5.9%	2,026	20.0%	6.9%	2.4%
Elko (14)	52,766	7,165	13.6%	11.4%	4,334	1,747	40.3%	220	5.1%	1,049	24.2%		
Esmeralda (1)	822	282	34.3%	10.1%	245	66	26.9%	40	16.3%	86	35.1%		
Eureka (2)	2,018	443	22.0%	8.0%	242	53	21.9%	24	9.9%	70	28.9%		
Humboldt (9)	17,279	2,896	16.8%	10.0%	1,613	679	42.1%	155	9.6%	374	23.2%		
Lander (6)	6,009	1,156	19.2%	9.6%	750	286	38.1%	61	8.1%	168	22.4%		
Lincoln (5)	5,184	1,424	27.5%	8.1%	846	212	25.1%	108	12.8%	248	29.3%		
Lyon (13)	51,789	14,048	27.1%	9.9%	8,814	3,465	39.3%	618	7.0%	1,605	18.2%	7.8%	3.3%
Mineral (4)	4,500	1,483	33.0%	28.4%	1,091	599	54.9%	121	11.1%	424	38.9%		
Nye (11)	42,282	15,553	36.8%	9.9%	10,965	4,474	40.8%	905	8.3%	2,199	20.1%	6.5%	1.8%
Pershing (7)	6,698	1,314	19.6%	12.6%	887	337	38.0%	60	6.8%	178	20.1%		
Storey (3)	3,912	1,521	38.9%	8.2%	903	294	32.6%	51	5.6%	175	19.4%		
Washoe (16)	440,078	92,010	20.9%	14.7%	54,442	17,415	32.0%	4,131	7.6%	18,141	28.2%	13.3%	7.2%
White Pine (8)	10,034	2,172	21.6%	13.2%	1,507	668	44.3%	175	11.6%	371	24.6%		
NEVADA	2,839,099	565,952	19.9%	23.8%	400,514	146,988	36.7%	32,869	8.3%	94,995	40.4%	20.0%	10.8%

Note: Census data not available for blank cells by county for 60 and older and other than English spoken at home/ English spoken less than very well.

NEVADA2,839,099565,95219.9%23.8%400,514146,98836.7%32,8698.3%94,99540.4%20.0%Note: Census data not available for blank cells by county for 60 and older and other than English spoken at home/English<br/>spoken less than very well.spoken less than very well.

## **Sources Cited**

United States Census Bureau.

- 2009-2013 5-Year American Community Survey;
- American Fact Finder, Data. May 2015 < <u>http://factfinder2.census.gov/</u> >
- American Fact Finder, Tables. May 2015 < <u>http://factfinder2.census.gov/</u> >
- Community Facts, http://factfinder.census.gov/faces/nav/jsf/pages/community\_facts.xhtml
- State and County QuickFacts, 2014 Estimates. <<u>http://quickfacts.census.gov/qfd/states/32000.html</u>>.
- Data, <u>http://www.census.gov/data.html</u>